The generic analysis of the issue may be adopted in each plant-specific review.

Category 2: For the issue, the analysis reported in the Generic Environmental Impact Statement has shown that one or more of the criteria of Category 1 cannot be met, and therefore additional plant-specific review is required.

3 The impact findings in this column are based on the definitions of three significance levels. Unless the significance level is identified as beneficial, the impact is adverse, or in the case of "small," may be negligible. The definitions of significance follow:

SMALL—For the issue, environmental effects are not detectable or are so minor that they will neither destabilize nor noticeably alter any important attribute of the resource. For the purposes of assessing radiological impacts, the Commission has concluded that those impacts that do not exceed permissible levels in the Commission's regulations are considered small as the term is used in this table.

MODERATE—For the issue, environmental effects are sufficient to alter noticeably, but not to destabilize, important attributes

of the resource.

LARGE—For the issue, environmental effects are clearly noticeable and are sufficient to destabilize important attributes of the

For issues where probability is a key consideration (i.e., accident consequences), probability was a factor in determining significance.

4NA (not applicable). The categorization and impact finding definitions do not apply to these issues

⁴ NA (not applicable). The categorization and impact finding definitions do not apply to these issues.
⁵ If, in the future, the Commission finds that, contrary to current indications, a consensus has been reached by appropriate Federal health agencies that there are adverse health effects from electromagnetic fields, the Commission will require applicants to submit plant-specific reviews of these health effects as part of their license renewal applications. Until such time, applicants for license renewal are not required to submit information on this issue.
⁶ Environmental Justice was not addressed in NUREG—1437, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants," because guidance for implementing Executive Order 12898 issued on February 11, 1994, was not available prior to completion of NUREG—1437. This issue will be addressed in individual license renewal reviews.

[61 FR 66546, Dec. 18, 1996, as amended at 62 FR 59276, Nov. 3, 1997; 64 FR 48507, Sept. 3, 1999]

PART 52—EARLY SITE **PERMITS: DESIGN** STANDARD **CERTIFI-**CATIONS; AND COMBINED LI-CENSES FOR NUCLEAR POWER **PLANTS**

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec.

- 52.1Scope
- 52.3Definitions.
- 52.5 Interpretations.
- 52.8 Information collection requirements: OMB approval.
- 52.9 Deliberate misconduct.

Subpart A—Early Site Permits

- 52.11 Scope of subpart.
- Relationship to subpart F of 10 CFR part 2 and appendix Q of this part.
- 52.15Filing of applications.
- 52.17 Contents of applications.
- 52.18 Standards for review of applications.
- 52.19 Permit and renewal fees.
- 52.21 Hearings.
- Referral to the ACRS. 52.23
- 52.24 Issuance of early site permit.
- 52.25 Extent of activities permitted.
- 52.27 Duration of permit.
- 52.29 Application for renewal.
- Criteria for renewal.
- Duration of renewal. 52.33
- Use of site for other purposes.
- 52.37 Reporting of defects and noncompliance; revocation, suspension, modification of permits for cause.
- 52.39 Finality of early site permit determinations.

Subpart B—Standard Design Certifications

- 52.41 Scope of subpart.
- 52.43 Relationship to appendices M, N, and O of this part.

- 52.45 Filing of applications.
- 52.47 Contents of applications.
- 52.48 Standards for review of applications.
- 52.49 Fees for review of applications.
- 52.51 Administrative review of applications.
- Referral to the ACRS. 52.53
- 52.54 Issuance of standard design certification.
- 52.55 Duration of certification.
- 52.57 Application for renewal.
- Criteria for renewal.
- 52.61 Duration of renewal.
- 52.63 Finality of standard design certifi-

Subpart C—Combined Licenses

- 52.71 Scope of subpart.
- Relationship to subparts A and B. 52.73
- Filing of applications. 52.75
- 52.77 Contents of applications; general information.
- 52.78 Contents of applications; training and qualification of nuclear power plant personnel
- 52.79 Contents of applications; technical information.
- 52.81 Standards for review of applications.
- 52.83 Applicability of part 50 provisions.
- 52.85 Administrative review of applications.
- 52.87 Referral to the ACRS.
- 52.89 Environmental review
- 52.91 Authorization to conduct site activities.
- 52.93 Exemptions and variances.
- 52.97 Issuance of combined licenses.
- 52.99 Inspection during construction.
- 52.103 Operation under a combined license.

Subpart D—Violations

- 52.111 Violations.
- 52.113 Criminal penalties.
- APPENDIX A TO PART 52—DESIGN CERTIFI-CATION RULE FOR THE U.S. ADVANCED BOILING WATER REACTOR

§ 52.1

APPENDIX B TO PART 52—DESIGN CERTIFI-CATION RULE FOR THE SYSTEM 80+ DESIGN APPENDIX C TO PART 52—DESIGN CERTIFI-CATION RULE FOR THE AP600 DESIGN

APPENDICES D-L TO PART 52 [RESERVED]

APPENDIX M TO PART 52—STANDARDIZATION OF DESIGN; MANUFACTURE OF NUCLEAR POWER REACTORS; CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF NUCLEAR POWER REACTORS MANUFACTURED PURSUANT TO COMMISSION LICENSE

APPENDIX N TO PART 52—STANDARDIZATION OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANT DESIGNS: LICENSES TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE NUCLEAR POWER REACTORS OF DUPLICATE DESIGN AT MULTIPLE SITES

APPENDIX O TO PART 52—STANDARDIZATION OF DESIGN: STAFF REVIEW OF STANDARD DE-SIGNS

APPENDIX P TO PART 52 [RESERVED]

APPENDIX Q TO PART 52—PRE-APPLICATION EARLY REVIEW OF SITE SUITABILITY ISSUES

AUTHORITY: Secs. 103, 104, 161, 182, 183, 186, 189, 68 Stat. 936, 948, 953, 954, 955, 956, as amended, sec. 234, 83 Stat. 444, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2133, 2201, 2232, 2233, 2236, 2239, 2282); secs. 201, 202, 206, 88 Stat. 1242, 1244, 1246, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5841, 5842, 5846).

SOURCE: 54 FR 15386, Apr. 18, 1989, unless otherwise noted.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

§ 52.1 Scope.

This part governs the issuance of early site permits, standard design certifications, and combined licenses for nuclear power facilities licensed under Section 103 or 104b of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (68 Stat. 919), and Title II of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 1242). This part also gives notice to all persons who knowingly provide to any holder of or applicant for an early site permit, standard design certification, or combined license, or to a contractor, subcontractor, or consultant of any of them, components, equipment, materials, or other goods or services, that relate to the activities of a holder of or applicant for an early site permit, standard design certification, or combined license, subject to this part, that they may be individually subject to NRC enforcement action for violation of § 52.9.

[63 FR 1897, Jan. 13, 1998]

§ 52.3 Definitions.

As used in this part,

(a) *Combined license* means a combined construction permit and operating license with conditions for a nuclear power facility issued pursuant to subpart C of this part.

(b) Early site permit means a Commission approval, issued pursuant to subpart A of this part, for a site or sites for one or more nuclear power facilities.

(c) Standard design means a design which is sufficiently detailed and complete to support certification in accordance with subpart B of this part, and which is usable for a multiple number of units or at a multiple number of sites without reopening or repeating the review.

(d) Standard design certification, design certification, or certification means a Commission approval, issued pursuant to subpart B of this part, of a standard design for a nuclear power facility. A design so approved may be referred to as a certified standard design.

(e) All other terms in this part have the meaning set out in 10 CFR 50.2, or section 11 of the Atomic Energy Act, as applicable.

§52.5 Interpretations.

Except as specifically authorized by the Commission in writing, no interpretation of the meaning of the regulations in this part by any officer or employee of the Commission other than a written interpretation by the General Counsel will be recognized to be binding upon the Commission.

§ 52.8 Information collection requirements: OMB approval.

(a) The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has submitted the information collection requirements contained in this part to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. OMB has approved the information collection requirements contained in this part under control number 3150–0151.

(b) The approved information collection requirements contained in this part appear in §§ 52.15, 52.17, 52.29, 52.35, 52.45, 52.47, 52.51, 52.57, 52.63, 52.75, 52.77, 52.78, 52.79, 52.89, 52.91, 52.99, and appendices A, B, and C.

[62 FR 52188, Oct. 6, 1997, as amended at 64 FR 72015, Dec. 23, 1999]

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 64 FR 72015, Dec. 23, 1999, §52.8, paragraph (b) was revised, effective Jan. 24, 2000. For the convenience of the user, the superseded text is set forth as follows:

$\S\,52.8$ Information collection requirements: OMB approval.

* * * * * *

(b) The approved information collection requirements contained in this part appear in \$\\$52.15, 52.17, 52.29, 52.35, 52.45, 52.47, 52.57, 52.63, 52.75, 52.77, 52.78, 52.79, 52.91, 52.99, 52.103, and appendices A and B.

§52.9 Deliberate misconduct.

- (a) Any holder of, or applicant for, an early site permit, standard design certification, or combined license, including its employees, contractors, subcontractors, or consultants and their employees, who knowingly provides to any holder of, or applicant for, an early site permit, standard design certification, or combined license, or to a contractor, subcontractor or consultant of any of them, equipment, materials, or other goods or services that relate to the activities of a holder of, or applicant for, an early site permit, standard design certification or combined license in this part, may not:
- (1) Engage in deliberate misconduct that causes or would have caused, if not detected, a holder of, or applicant for, an early site permit, standard design certification, or combined license, to be in violation of any rule, regulation, or order; or any term, condition, or limitation of any permit, certification or license issued by the Commission: or
- (2) Deliberately submit to the NRC, a holder of, or applicant for, an early site permit, standard design certification, or combined license, or a contractor, subcontractor, or consultant of any of them, information that the person submitting the information knows to be

incomplete or inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC.

- (b) A person who violates paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(2) of this section may be subject to enforcement action in accordance with the procedures in 10 CFR part 2, subpart B.
- (c) For the purposes of paragraph (a)(1) of this section, deliberate misconduct by a person means an intentional act or omission that the person knows:
- (1) Would cause a holder of, or applicant for, an early site permit, standard design certification, or combined license, to be in violation of any rule, regulation, or order; or any term, condition, or limitation, of any license issued by the Commission; or
- (2) Constitutes a violation of a requirement, procedure, instruction, contract, purchase order, or policy of a holder of, or applicant for, an early site permit, certified design or combined license, or a contractor or subcontractor of any of them.

[63 FR 1897, Jan. 13, 1998]

Subpart A—Early Site Permits

§52.11 Scope of subpart.

This subpart sets out the requirements and procedures applicable to Commission issuance of early site permits for approval of a site or sites for one or more nuclear power facilities separate from the filing of an application for a construction permit or combined license for such a facility.

§52.13 Relationship to subpart F of 10 CFR part 2 and appendix Q of this part.

The procedures of this subpart do not replace those set out in subpart F of 10 CFR part 2 or appendix Q of this part. Subpart F applies only when early review of site suitability issues is sought in connection with an appliction for a permit to construct certain power facilities. Appendix Q applies only when NRC staff review of one or more site suitability issues is sought separately from and prior to the submittal of a construction permit. A Staff Site Report issued under appendix Q in no way affects the authority of the Commission or the presiding officer in any proceeding under subpart F or G of 10 CFR

§ 52.15

part 2. Subpart A applies when any person who may apply for a construction permit under 10 CFR part 50 or for a combined license under 10 CFR part 52 seeks an early site permit from the Commission separately from an application for a construction permit or a combined license for a facility.

§52.15 Filing of applications.

(a) Any person who may apply for a construction permit under 10 CFR part 50, or for a combined license under 10 CFR part 52, may file with the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation an application for an early site permit. An application for an early site permit may be filed notwithstanding the fact that an application for a construction permit or a combined license has not been filed in connection with the site or sites for which a permit is sought.

(b) The application must comply with the filing requirements of 10 CFR 50.30 (a), (b), and (f) as they would apply to an application for a construction permit. The following portions of \$50.4, which is referenced by \$50.30(a)(1), are applicable: paragraphs (a), (b) (1)–(3), (c), (d), and (e).

§ 52.17 Contents of applications.

(a)(1) The application must contain the information required by §50.33 (a) through (d), the information required by §50.34 (a)(12) and (b)(10), and to the extent approval of emergency plans is sought under paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section, the information required by §50.33 (g) and (j), and §50.34 (b)(6)(v) of this chapter. The application must also contain a description and safety assessment of the site on which the facility is to be located. The assessment must contain an analysis and evaluation of the major structures, systems, and components of the facility that bear significantly on the acceptability of the site under the radiological consequence evaluation factors identified in §50.34(a)(1) of this chapter. Site characteristics must comply with part 100 of this chapter. In addition, the application should describe the following:

- (i) The number, type, and thermal power level of the facilities for which the site may be used;
 - (ii) The boundaries of the site;

(iii) The proposed general location of each facility on the site;

(iv) The anticipated maximum levels of radiological and thermal effluents each facility will produce;

(v) The type of cooling systems, intakes, and outflows that may be associated with each facility;

(vi) The seismic, meteorological, hydrologic, and geologic characteristics of the proposed site;

(vii) The location and description of any nearby industrial, military, or transportation facilities and routes; and

(viii) The existing and projected future population profile of the area surrounding the site.

(2) A complete environmental report as required by 10 CFR 51.45 and 51.50 must be included in the application, provided, however, that such environmental report must focus on the environmental effects of construction and operation of a reactor, or reactors, which have characteristics that fall within the postulated site parameters, and provided further that the report need not include an assessment of the benefits (for example, need for power) of the proposed action, but must include an evaluation of alternative sites to determine whether there is any obviously superior alternative to the site proposed.

(b)(1) The application must identify physical characteristics unique to the proposed site, such as egress limitations from the area surrounding the site, that could pose a significant impediment to the development of emergency plans.

(2) The application may also either:

(i) Propose major features of the emergency plans, such as the exact sizes of the emergency planning zones, that can be reviewed and approved by NRC in consultation with FEMA in the absence of complete and integrated emergency plans; or

(ii) Propose complete and integrated emergency plans for review and approval by the NRC, in consultation with the Federal Emergency Management Agency, in accord with the applicable provisions of 10 CFR 50.47.

(3) Under paragraphs (b) (1) and (2)(i) of this section, the application must include a description of contacts and

arrangements made with local, state, and federal governmental agencies with emergency planning responsibilities. Under the option set forth in paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section, the applicant shall make good faith efforts to obtain from the same governmental agencies certifications that: (i) The proposed emergency plans are practicable; (ii) These agencies are committed to participating in any further development of the plans, including any required field demonstrations, and (iii) that these agencies are committed to executing their responsibilities under the plans in the event of an emergency. The application must contain any certifications that have been obtained. If these certifications cannot be obtained, the application must contain information, including a utility plan, sufficient to show that the proposed plans nonetheless provide reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken, in the event of a radiological emergency at the site.

(c) If the applicant wishes to be able to perform, after grant of the early site permit, the activities at the site allowed by 10 CFR 50.10(e)(1) without first obtaining the separate authorization required by that section, the applicant shall propose, in the early site permit, a plan for redress of the site in the event that the activities are performed and the site permit expires before it is referenced in an application for a construction permit or a combined license issued under subpart C of this part. The application must demonstrate that there is reasonable assurance that redress carried out under the plan will achieve an environmentally stable and aesthetically acceptable site suitable for whatever non-nuclear use may conform with local zoning laws.

[54 FR 15386, Sept. 18, 1989, as amended at 61 FR 65175, Dec. 11, 1996]

§ 52.18 Standards for review of applications.

Applications filed under this subpart will be reviewed according to the applicable standards set out in 10 CFR part 50 and its appendices and part 100 as they apply to applications for construction permits for nuclear power plants. In particular, the Commission

shall prepare an environmental impact statement during review of the application, in accordance with the applicable provisions of 10 CFR part 51, provided, however, that the draft and final environmental impact statements prepared by the Commission focus on the environmental effects of construction and operation of a reactor, or reactors, which have characteristics that fall within the postulated site parameters, and provided further that the statements need not include an assessment of the benefits (for example, need for power) of the proposed action, but must include an evaluation of alternative sites to determine whether there is any obviously superior alternative to the site proposed. The Commission shall determine, after consultation with the Federal Emergency Management Agency, whether the information required of the applicant by §52.17(b)(1) shows that there is no significant impediment to the development of emergency plans, whether any major features of emergency plans submitted by the applicant under § 52.17(b) (2) (i) are acceptable, and whether any emergency plans submitted by the applicant under §52.17(b)(2)(ii) provide reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken in the event of a radiological emergency.

§52.19 Permit and renewal fees.

The fees charged for the review of an application for the initial issuance or renewal of an early site permit are set forth in 10 CFR 170.21 and shall be paid in accordance with 10 CFR 170.12.

[56 FR 31499, July 10, 1991]

§52.21 Hearings.

An early site permit is a partial construction permit and is therefore subject to all procedural requirements in 10 CFR part 2 which are applicable to construction permits, including the requirements for docketing in §§ 2.101(a) (1)-(4), and the requirements for issuance of a notice of hearing in §§ 2.104 (a), (b)(1) (iv) and (v), (b)(2) to the extent it runs parallel to (b)(1) (iv) and (v), and (b)(3), provided that the

§ 52.23

designated sections may not be construed to require that the environmental report or draft or final environmental impact statement include an assessment of the benefits of the proposed action. In the hearing, the presiding officer shall also determine whether, taking into consideration the site criteria contained in 10 CFR part 100, a reactor, or reactors, having characteristics that fall within the parameters for the site can be constructed and operated without undue risk to the health and safety of the public. All hearings conducted on applications for early site permits filed under this part are governed by the procedures contained in subpart G of part 2.

§52.23 Referral to the ACRS.

The Commission shall refer a copy of the application to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS). The ACRS shall report on those portions of the application which concern safety.

§52.24 Issuance of early site permit.

After conducting a hearing under §52.21 of this subpart and receiving the report to be submitted by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards under §52.23 of this subpart, and upon determining that an application for an early site permit meets the applicable standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act and the Commission's regulations, and that notifications, if any, to other agencies or bodies have been duly made, the Commission shall issue an early site permit, in the form and containing the conditions and limitations, as the Commission deems appropriate and necessary.

§ 52.25 Extent of activities permitted.

(a) If an early site permit contains a site redress plan, the holder of the permit, or the applicant for a construction permit or combined license who references the permit, may perform the activities at the site allowed by 10 CFR 50.10(e)(1) without first obtaining the separate authorization required by that section, provided that the final environmental impact statement prepared for the permit has concluded that the activities will not result in

any significant adverse environmental impact which cannot be redressed.

(b) If the activities permitted by paragraph (a) of this section are performed at any site for which an early site permit has been granted, and the site is not referenced in an application for a construction permit or a combined license issued under subpart C of this part while the permit remains valid, then the early site permit must remain in effect solely for the purpose of site redress, and the holder of the permit shall redress the site in accordance with the terms of the site redress plan required by §52.17(c). If, before redress is complete, a use not envisaged in the redress plan is found for the site or parts thereof, the holder of the permit shall carry out the redress plan to the greatest extent possible consistent with the alternate use.

§52.27 Duration of permit.

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, an early site permit issued under this subpart may be valid for not less than ten nor more than twenty years from the date of issuance.
- (b)(1) An early site permit continues to be valid beyond the date of expiration in any proceeding on a construction permit application or a combined license application which references the early site permit and is docketed either before the date of expiration of the early site permit, or, if a timely application for renewal of the permit has been filed, before the Commission has determined whether to renew the permit.
- (2) An early site permit also continues to be valid beyond the date of expiration in any proceeding on an operating license application which is based on a construction permit which references the early site permit, and in any hearing held under §52.103 of this part before operation begins under a combined license which references the early site permit.
- (c) An applicant for a construction permit or combined license may, at its own risk, reference in its application a site for which an early site permit application has been docketed but not granted.

§52.29 Application for renewal.

(a) Not less than twelve nor more than thirty-six months prior to the end of the initial twenty-year period, or any later renewal period, the permit holder may apply for a renewal of the permit. An application for renewal must contain all information necessary to bring up to date the information and data contained in the previous application.

(b) Any person whose interests may be affected by renewal of the permit may request a hearing on the application for renewal. The request for a hearing must comply with 10 CFR 2.714. If a hearing is granted, notice of the hearing will be published in accordance with 10 CFR 2.703.

(c) An early site permit, either original or renewed, for which a timely application for renewal has been filed, remains in effect until the Commission has determined whether to renew the permit. If the permit is not renewed, it continues to be valid in certain proceedings in accordance with the provisions of §52.27(b).

(d) The Commission shall refer a copy of the application for renewal to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS). The ACRS shall report on those portions of the application which concern safety and shall apply the criteria set forth in §52.31.

§52.31 Criteria for renewal.

(a) The Commission shall grant the renewal if the Commission determines that the site complies with the Atomic Energy Act and the Commission's regulations and orders applicable and in effect at the time the site permit was originally issued, and any new requirements the Commission may wish to impose after a determination that there is a substantial increase in overall protection of the public health and safety or the common defense and security to be derived from the new requirements and that the direct and indirect costs of implementation of those requirements are justified in view of this increased protection.

(b) A denial of renewal on this basis does not bar the permit holder or another applicant from filing a new application for the site which proposes changes to the site or the way in which it is used which correct the deficiencies cited in the denial of the renewal.

§52.33 Duration of renewal.

Each renewal of an early site permit may be for not less than ten nor more than twenty years.

§ 52.35 Use of site for other purposes.

A site for which an early site permit has been issued under this subpart may be used for purposes other than those described in the permit, including the location of other types of energy facilities. The permit holder shall inform the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation of any significant uses for the site which have not been approved in the early site permit. The information about the activities must be given to the Director in advance of any actual construction or site modification for the activities. The information provided could be the basis for imposing new requirements on the permit, in accordance with the provisions of §52.39. If the permit holder informs the Director that the holder no longer intends to use the site for a nuclear power plant, the Director shall terminate the per-

§52.37 Reporting of defects and noncompliance; revocation, suspension, modification of permits for cause.

For purposes of part 21 and 10 CFR 50.100, an early site permit is a construction permit.

§ 52.39 Finality of early site permit determinations.

(a)(1) Notwithstanding any provision in 10 CFR 50.109, while an early site permit is in effect under §§ 52.27 or 52.33 the Commission may not impose new requirements, including new emergency planning requirements, on the early site permit or the site for which it was issued, unless the Commission determines that a modification is necessary either to bring the permit or the site into compliance with the Commission's regulations and orders applicable and in effect at the time the permit was issued, or to assure adequate protection of the public health and safety or the common defense and security.

(2) In making the findings required for issuance of a construction permit,

§52.41

operating license, or combined license, or the findings required by §52.103 of this part, if the application for the construction permit, operating license, or combined license references an early site permit, the Commission shall treat as resolved those matters resolved in the proceeding on the application for issuance or renewal of the early site permit, unless a contention is admitted that a reactor does not fit within one or more of the site parameters included in the site permit, or a petition is filed which alleges either that the site is not in compliance with the terms of the early site permit, or that the terms and conditions of the early site permit should be modified.

(i) A contention that a reactor does not fit within one or more of the site parameters included in the site permit may be litigated in the same manner as other issues material to the pro-

ceeding.

(ii) A petition which alleges that the site is not in compliance with the terms of the early site permit must include, or clearly reference, official NRC documents, documents prepared by or for the permit holder, or evidence admissible in a proceeding under subpart G of part 2, which show, prima facie, that the acceptance criteria have not been met. The permit holder and NRC staff may file answers to the petition within the time specified in 10 CFR 2.730 for answers to motions by parties and staff. If the Commission, in its judgment, decides, on the basis of the petitions and any answers thereto, that the petition meets the requirements of this paragraph, that the issues are not exempt from adjudication under 5 U.S.C. 554(a)(3), that genuine issues of material fact are raised, and that settlement or other informal resolution of the issues is not possible, then the genuine issues of material fact raised by the petition must be resolved in accordance with the provisions in 554, 556, and 557 which are applicable to determining application for initial licenses.

(iii) A petition which alleges that the terms and conditions of the early site permit should be modified will be processed in accord with 10 CFR 2.206. Before construction commences, the Commission shall consider the petition and determine whether any immediate

action is required. If the petition is granted, then an appropriate order will be issued. Construction under the construction permit or combined license will not be affected by the granting of the petition unless the order is made immediately effective.

(iv) Prior to construction, the Commission shall find that the terms of the early site permit have been met.

(b) An applicant for a construction permit, operating license, or combined license who has filed an application referencing an early site permit issued under this subpart may include in the application a request for a variance from one or more elements of the permit. In determining whether to grant the variance, the Commission shall apply the same technically relevant criteria as were applicable to the application for the original or renewed site permit. Issuance of the variance must be subject to litigation during the construction permit, operating license, or combined license proceeding in the same manner as other issues material to those proceedings.

Subpart B—Standard Design Certifications

§52.41 Scope of subpart.

This subpart set out the requirements and procedures applicable to Commission issuance of rules granting standard design certification for nuclear power facilities separate from the filing of an application for a construction permit or combined license for such facility.

§ 52.43 Relationship to appendices M, N, and O of this part.

(a) Appendix M to this part governs the issuance of licenses to manufacture nuclear power reactors to be installed and operated at sites not identified in the manufacturing license application. Appendix N governs licenses to construct and operate nuclear power reactors of duplicate design at multiple sites. These appendices may be used independently of the provisions in this subpart unless the applicant also wishes to use a certified standard design approved under this subpart.

(b) Appendix O governs the staff review and approval of preliminary and

final standard designs. A staff approval under appendix O in no way affects the authority of the Commission or the presiding officer in any proceeding under subpart G of 10 CFR part 2. Subpart B of part 52 governs Commission approval, or certification, of standard designs by rulemaking.

(c) A final design approval under appendix O is a prerequisite for certification of a standard design under this subpart. An application for a final design approval must state whether the applicant intends to seek certification of the design. If the applicant does so intend, the application for the final design approval must, in addition to containing the information required by appendix O, comply with the applicable requirements of part 52, subpart B, particularly §§ 52.45 and 52.47.

§ 52.45 Filing of applications.

- (a)(1) Any person may seek a standard design certification for an essentially complete nuclear power plant design which is an evolutionary change from light water reactor designs of plants which have been licensed and in commercial operation before the effective date of this rule.
- (2) Any person may also seek a standard design certification for a nuclear power plant design which differs significantly from the light water reactor designs described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section or utilizes simplified, inherent, passive, or other innovative means to accomplish its safety functions.
- (b) An application for certification may be filed notwithstanding the fact that an application for a construction permit or combined license for such a facility has not been filed.
- (c)(1) Because a final design approval under appendix O of this part is a prerequisite for certification of a standard design, a person who seeks such a certification and does not hold, or has not applied for, a final design approval, shall file with the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation an application for a final design approval and certification.
- (2) Any person who seeks certification but already holds, or has applied for, a final design approval, also shall file with the Director of Nuclear Reac-

tor Regulation an application for certification, because the NRC staff may require that the information before the staff in connection with the review for the final design approval be supplemented for the review for certification.

(d) The applicant must comply with the filing requirements of 10 CFR 50.30(a) (1)–(4), and (6) and 50.30(b) as they would apply to an application for a nuclear power plant construction permit. The following portions of \$50.4, which is referenced by \$50.30(a)(1), are applicable to the extent technically relevant: paragraphs (a); (b), except for paragraphs (6); (c); and (e).

§ 52.47 Contents of applications.

- (a) The requirements of this paragraph apply to all applications for design certification. (1) An application for design certification must contain:
- (i) The technical information which is required of applicants for construction permits and operating licenses by 10 CFR part 20, part 50 and its appendices, and parts 73 and 100, and which is technically relevant to the design and not site-specific;
- (ii) Demonstration of compliance with any technically relevant portions of the Three Mile Island requirements set forth in 10 CFR 50.34(f);
- (iii) The site parameters postulated for the design, and an analysis and evaluation of the design in terms of such parameters;
- (iv) Proposed technical resolutions of those Unresolved Safety Issues and medium- and high-priority Generic Safety Issues which are identified in the version of NUREG-0933 current on the date six months prior to application and which are technically relevant to the design;
- (v) A design-specific probabilistic risk assessment;
- (vi) Proposed tests, inspections, analyses, and acceptance criteria which are necessary and sufficient to provide reasonable assurance that, if the tests, inspections and analyses are performed and the acceptance criteria met, a plant which references the design is built and will operate in accordance with the design certification.
- (vii) The interface requirements to be met by those portions of the plant for which the application does not seek

§ 52.47

certification. These requirements must be sufficiently detailed to allow completion of the final safety analysis and design-specific probabilistic risk assessment required by paragraph (a)(1)(v) of this section;

(viii) Justification that compliance with the interface requirements of paragraph (a)(1)(vii) of this section is verifiable through inspection, testing (either in the plant or elsewhere), or analysis. The method to be used for verification of interface requirements must be included as part of the proposed tests, inspections, analyses, and acceptance criteria required by paragraph (a)(1)(vi) of this section; and

(ix) A representative conceptual design for those portions of the plant for which the application does not seek certification, to aid the staff in its review of the final safety analysis and probabilistic risk assessment required by paragraph (a)(1)(v) of this section, and to permit assessment of the adequacy of the interface requirements called for by paragraph (a)(1)(vii) of this subsection.

(2) The application must contain a level of design information sufficient to enable the Commission to judge the applicant's proposed means of assuring that construction conforms to the design and to reach a final conclusion on all safety questions associated with the design before the certification is granted. The information submitted for a design certification must include performance requirements and design information sufficiently detailed to permit the preparation of acceptance and inspection requirements by the NRC, and procurement specifications and construction and installation specifications by an applicant. The Commission will require, prior to design certification, that information normally contained in certain procurement specifications and construction and installation specifications be completed and available for audit if such information is necessary for the Commission to make its safety determination.

(3) The staff shall advise the applicant on whether any technical information beyond that required by this section must be submitted.

(b) This paragraph applies, according to its provisions, to particular applications:

(1) The application for certification of a nuclear power plant design which is an evolutionary change from light water reactor designs of plants which have been licensed and in commercial operation before the effective date of this rule must provide an essentially complete nuclear power plant design except for site-specific elements such as the service water intake structure and the ultimate heat sink.

(2)(i) Certification of a standard design which differs significantly from the light water reactor designs described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section or utilizes simplified, inherent, passive, or other innovative means to accomplish its safety functions will be granted only if

(A)(1) The performance of each safety feature of the design has been demonstrated through either analysis, appropriate test programs, experience, or

a combination thereof;

(2) Interdependent effects among the safety features of the design have been found acceptable by analysis, appropriate test programs, experience, or a combination thereof;

- (3) Sufficient data exist on the safety features of the design to assess the analytical tools used for safety analyses over a sufficient range of normal operating conditions, transient conditions, and specified accident sequences, including equilibrium core conditions;
- (4) The scope of the design is complete except for site-specific elements such as the service water intake structure and the ultimate heat sink; or
- (B) There has been acceptable testing of an appropriately sited, full-size, prototype of the design over a sufficient range of normal operating conditions, transient conditions, and specified acincluding equicident sequences, librium core conditions. If the criterion in paragraph (b)(2)(i)(A)(4) of this section is not met, the testing of the prototype must demonstrate that the non-certified portion of the plant cannot significantly affect the safe operation of the plant.

(ii) The application for final design approval of a standard design of the type described in this subsection must propose the specific testing necessary to support certification of the design, whether the testing be prototype testing or the testing required in the alternative by paragraph (b)(2)(i)(A) of this section.

The Appendix O final design approval of such a design must identify the specific testing required for certification of the design.

(3) An application seeking certification of a modular design must describe the various options for the configuration of the plant and site, including variations in, or sharing of, common systems, interface requirements, and system interactions. The final safety analysis and the probabilistic risk assessment should also account for differences among the various options, including any restrictions which will be necessary during the construction and startup of a given module to ensure the safe operation of any module already operating.

§ 52.48 Standards for review of applications.

Applications filed under this subpart will be reviewed for compliance with the standards set out in 10 CFR part 20, part 50 and its appendices, and parts 73 and 100 as they apply to applications for construction permits and operating licenses for nuclear power plants, and as those standards are technically relevant to the design proposed for the facility.

§52.49 Fees for review of applications.

The fee charged for the review of an application for the initial issuance or renewal of a standard design certification are set forth in 10 CFR 170.21 and shall be paid in accordance with 10 CFR 170.12.

[56 FR 31499, July 10, 1991]

§ 52.51 Administrative review of applications.

(a) A standard design certification is a rule that will be issued in accordance with the provisions of subpart H of 10 CFR part 2, as supplemented by the provisions of this section. The Commission shall initiate the rulemaking after an application has been filed under

§52.45 and shall specify the procedures to be used for the rulemaking.

(b) The rulemaking procedures must provide for notice and comment and an opportunity for an informal hearing before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. The procedures for the informal hearing must include the opportunity for written presentations made under oath or affirmation and for oral presentations and questioning if the Board finds them either necessary for the creation of an adequate record or the most expeditious way to resolve controversies. Ordinarily, the questioning in the informal hearing will be done by members of the Board, using either the Board's questions or questions submitted to the Board by the parties. The Board may also request authority from the Commission to use additional procedures, such as direct and cross examination by the parties, or may request that the Commission convene a formal hearing under subpart G of 10 CFR part 2 on specific and substantial disputes of fact, necessary for the Commission's decision, that cannot be resolved with sufficient accuracy except in a formal hearing. The staff will be a party in the hearing.

(c) The decision in such a hearing will be based only on information on which all parties have had an opportunity to comment, either in response to the notice of proposed rulemaking or in the informal hearing. Notwithstanding anything in 10 CFR 2.790 to the contrary, proprietary information will be protected in the same manner and to the same extent as proprietary information submitted in connection with applications for construction permits and operating licenses under 10 CFR part 50, provided that the design certification shall be published in chapter I of this title.

§52.53 Referral to the ACRS.

The Commission shall refer a copy of the application to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS). The ACRS shall report on those portions of the application which concern safety.

§ 52.54

§ 52.54 Issuance of standard design certification.

After conducting a rulemaking proceeding under §52.51 on an application for a standard design certification and receiving the report to be submitted by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards under §52.53, and upon determining that the application meets the applicable standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act and the Commission's regulations, the Commission shall issue a standard design certification in the form of a rule for the design which is the subject of the application.

§ 52.55 Duration of certification.

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, a standard design certification issued pursuant to this subpart is valid for fifteen years from the date of issuance.
- (b) A standard design certification continues to be valid beyond the date of expiration in any proceeding on an application for a combined license or operating license which references the standard design certification and is docketed either before the date of expiration of the certification, or, if a timely application for renewal of the certification has been filed, before the Commission has determined whether to renew the certification. A design certification also continues to be valid beyond the date of expiration in any hearing held under §52.103 before operation begins under a combined license which references the design certifi-
- (c) An applicant for a construction permit or combined license may, at its own risk, reference in its application a design for which a design certification application has been docketed but not granted.

§ 52.57 Application for renewal.

(a) Not less than twelve nor more than thirty-six months prior to expiration of the initial fifteen-year period, or any later renewal period, any person may apply for renewal of the certification. An application for renewal must contain all information necessary to bring up to date the information and data contained in the previous application. The Commission will require,

prior to renewal of certification, that information normally contained in certain procurement specifications and construction and installation specifications be completed and available for audit if such information is necessary for the Commission to make its safety determination. Notice and comment procedures must be used for a rule-making proceeding on the application for renewal. The Commission, in its discretion, may require the use of additional procedures in individual renewal proceedings.

- (b) A design certification, either original or renewed, for which a timely application for renewal has been filed remains in effect until the Commission has determined whether to renew the certification. If the certification is not renewed, it continues to be valid in certain proceedings, in accordance with the provisions of §52.55.
- (c) The Commission shall refer a copy of the application for renewal to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS). The ACRS shall report on those portions of the application which concern safety and shall apply the criteria set forth in §52.59.

§52.59 Criteria for renewal.

(a) The Commission shall issue a rule granting the renewal if the design, either as originally certified or as modified during the rulemaking on the renewal, complies with the Atomic Energy Act and the Commission's regulations applicable and in effect at the time the certification was issued, and any other requirements the Commission may wish to impose after a determination that there is a substantial increase in overall protection of the public health and safety or the common defense and security to be derived from the new requirements and that the direct and indirect costs of implementation of those requirements are justified in view of this increased protection. In addition, the applicant for renewal may request an amendment to the design certification. The Commission shall grant the amendment request if it determines that the amendment will comply with the Atomic Energy Act and the Commission's regulations in effect at the time or renewal. If the

amendment request entails such an extensive change to the design certification that an essentially new standard design is being proposed, an application for a design certification shall be filed in accordance with §52.45 and 52.47 of this part.

(b) Denial of renewal does not bar the applicant, or another applicant, from filing a new application for certification of the design, which proposes design changes which correct the deficiencies cited in the denial of the renewal.

§52.61 Duration of renewal.

Each renewal of certification for a standard design will be for not less than ten nor more than fifteen years.

§ 52.63 Finality of standard design certifications.

(a)(1) Notwithstanding any provision in 10 CFR 50.109, while a standard design certification is in effect under §52.55 or 52.61, the Commission may not modify, rescind, or impose new requirements on the certification, whether on its own motion, or in response to a petition from any person, unless the Commission determines in a rulemaking that a modification is necessary either to bring the certification or the referencing plants into compliance with the Commission's regulations applicable and in effect at the time the certification was issued, or to assure adequate protection of the public health and safety or the common defense and security. The rulemaking procedures must provide for notice and comment and an opportunity for the party which applied for the certification to request an informal hearing which uses the procedures described in §52.51 of this subpart.

(2) Any modification the NRC imposes on a design certification rule under paragraph (a)(1) of this section will be applied to all plants referencing the certified design, except those to which the modification has been rendered technically irrelevant by action taken under paragraphs (a)(3), (a)(4), or (b) of this section.

(3) While a design certification is in effect under §52.55 or §52.61, unless (i) a modification is necessary to secure compliance with the Commission's reg-

ulations applicable and in effect at the time the certification was issued, or to assure adequate protection of the public health and safety or the common defense and security, and (ii) special circumstances as defined in 10 CFR 50.12(a) are present, the Commission may not impose new requirements by plant-specific order on any part of the design of a specific plant referencing the design certification if that part was approved in the design certification. In addition to the factors listed in §50.12(a), the Commission shall conwhether sider the special cumstances which §50.12(a)(2) requires to be present outweigh any decrease in safety that may result from the reduction in standardization caused by the plant-specific order.

(4) Except as provided in 10 CFR 2.758, in making the findings required for issuance of a combined license or operating license, or for any hearing under \$52.103, the Commission shall treat as resolved those matters resolved in connection with the issuance or renewal of a design certification.

(b)(1) An applicant or licensee who references a standard design certification may request an exemption from one or more elements of the design certification. The Commission may grant such a request only if it determines that the exemption will comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.12(a). In addition to the factors listed in §50.12(a), the Commission shall conwhether the special cumstances which §50.12(a)(2) requires to be present outweigh any decrease in safety that may result from the reduction in standardization caused by the exemption. The granting of an exemption on request of an applicant must be subject to litigation in the same manner as other issues in the operating license or combined license hearing.

(2) Subject §50.59, a licensee who references a standard design certification may make changes to the design of the nuclear power facility, without prior Commission approval, unless the proposed change involves a change to the design as described in the rule certifying the design. The licensee shall maintain records of all changes to the facility and these records must be maintained and available for audit

§52.71

until the date of termination of the license.

(c) The Commission will require, prior to granting a construction permit, combined license, or operating license which references a standard design certification, that information normally contained in certain procurement specifications and construction and installation specifications be completed and available for audit if such information is necessary for the Commission to make its safety determinations, including the determination that the application is consistent with the certified design. This information may be acquired by appropriate arrangements with the design certification applicant.

Subpart C—Combined Licenses

§52.71 Scope of subpart.

This subpart sets out the requirements and procedures applicable to Commission issuance of combined licenses for nuclear power facilities.

$\S 52.73$ Relationship to subparts A and B.

An application for a combined license under this subpart may, but need not, reference a standard design certification issued under subpart B of this part or an early site permit issued under subpart A of this part, or both. In the absence of a demonstration that an entity other than the one originally sponsoring and obtaining a design certification is qualified to supply such design, the Commission will entertain an application for a combined license which references a standard design certification issued under subpart B only if the entity that sponsored and obtained the certification supplies the certified design for the applicant's use.

§52.75 Filing of applications.

Any person except one excluded by 10 CFR 50.38 may file an application for a combined license for a nuclear power facility with the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. The applicant shall comply with the filing requirements of 10 CFR 50.4 and 50.30 (a) and (b), except for paragraph (b)(6) of §50.4, as they would apply to an application for a nuclear power plant construction

permit. The fees associated with the filing and review of the application are set out in 10 CFR part 170.

$\S 52.77$ Contents of applications; general information.

The application must contain all of the information required by 10 CFR 50.33, as that section would apply to applicants for construction permits and operating licenses, and 10 CFR 50.33a, as that section would apply to an applicant for a nuclear power plant construction permit. In particular, the applicant shall comply with the requirement of \$50.33a(b) regarding the submission of antitrust information.

§ 52.78 Contents of applications; training and qualification of nuclear power plant personnel.

(a) *Applicability*. The requirements of this section apply only to the personnel associated with the operating phase of the combined licenses.

(b) The application must demonstrate compliance with the requirements for training programs established in §50.120 of this chapter.

[58 FR 21912, Apr. 26, 1993]

§ 52.79 Contents of applications; technical information.

(a)(1) In general, if the application references an early site permit, the application need not contain information or analyses submitted to the Commission in connection with the early site permit, but must contain, in addition to the information and analyses otherwise required, information sufficient to demonstrate that the design of the facility falls within the parameters specified in the early site permit, and to resolve any other significant environmental issue not considered in any previous proceeding on the site or the design.

(2) If the application does not reference an early site permit, the applicant shall comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.30(f) by including with the application an environmental report prepared in accordance with the provisions of subpart A of 10 CFR part 51.

(3) If the application does not reference an early site permit which contains a site redress plan as described in

 $\S52.17(c)$, and if the applicant wishes to be able to perform the activities at the site allowed by 10 CFR 50.10(e)(1), then the application must contain the infor-

mation required by §52.17(c).

(b) The application must contain the technically relevant information required of applicants for an operating license by 10 CFR 50.34. The final safety analysis report and other required information may incorporate by reference the final safety analysis report for a certified standard design. In particular, an application referencing a certified design must describe those portions of the design which are sitespecific, such as the service water intake structure and the ultimate heat sink. An application referencing a certified design must also demonstrate compliance with the interface requirements established for the design under §52.47(a)(1), and have available for audit procurement specifications and construction and installation specifications in accordance with §52.47(a)(2). If the application does not reference a certified design, the application must comply with the requirements of §52.47(a)(2) for level of design information, and shall contain the technical information required by \$\$52.47(a)(1)(i), (ii), (iv), and (v) and (3), and, if the design is modular, §52.47(b)(3).

(c) The application for a combined license must include the proposed inspections, tests and analyses, including those applicable to emergency planning, which the licensee shall perform and the acceptance criteria therefor which are necessary and sufficient to provide reasonable assurance that, if the inspections, tests and analyses are performed and the acceptance criteria met, the facility has been constructed and will operate in conformity with the combined license, the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act, and the NRC's regulations. Where the application references a certified standard design, the inspections, tests, analyses and acceptance criteria contained in the certified design must apply to those portions of the facility design which are covered by the design certification.

(d) The application must contain emergency plans which provide reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken in the event of a radiological emergency at the site.

(1) If the application references an early site permit, the application may incorporate by reference emergency plans, or major features of emergency plans, approved in connection with the

issuance of the permit.

(2) If the application does not reference an early site permit, or if no emergency plans were approved in connection with the issuance of the permit, the applicant shall make good faith efforts to obtain certifications from the local and State governmental agencies with emergency planning responsibilities (i) that the proposed emergency plans are practicable, (ii) that these agencies are committed to participating in any further development of the plans, including any required field demonstrations, and (iii) that these agencies are committed to executing their responsibilities under the plans in the event of an emergency. The application must contain any certifications that have been obtained. If these certifications cannot be obtained, the application must contain information, including a utility plan, sufficient to show that the proposed plans nonetheless provide reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken in the event of a radiological emergency at the site.

[54 FR 15386, Apr. 18, 1989, as amended at 57 FR 60978, Dec. 23, 1992]

§52.81 Standards for review of applications.

Applications filed under this subpart will be reviewed according to the standards set out in 10 CFR parts 20, 50, 51, 55, 73, and 100 as they apply to applications for construction permits and operating licenses for nuclear power plants, and as those standards are technically relevant to the design proposed for the facility.

§ 52.83 Applicability of part 50 provisions

Unless otherwise specifically provided for in this subpart, all provisions of 10 CFR part 50 and its appendices applicable to holders of construction permits for nuclear power reactors also apply to holders of combined licenses

§ 52.85

issued under this subpart. Similarly, all provisions of 10 CFR part 50 and its appendices applicable to holders of operating licenses also apply to holders of combined licenses issued under this subpart, once the Commission has made the findings required under §52.99, provided that, as applied to a combined license, 10 CFR 50.51 must require that the initial duration of the license may not exceed 40 years from the date on which the Commission makes the findings required under §52.99. However, any limitations contained in part 50 regarding applicability of the provisions to certain classes of facilities continue to apply. Provisions of 10 CFR part 50 that do not apply to holders of combined licenses issued under this subpart include §§ 50.55 (a), (b) and (d), and 50.58.

[57 FR 60978, Dec. 23, 1992]

§ 52.85 Administrative review of applications.

A proceeding on a combined license is subject to all applicable procedural requirements contained in 10 CFR part 2, including the requirements for docketing (§2.101) and issuance of a notice of hearing (§2.104). All hearings on combined licenses are governed by the procedures contained in part 2, subpart G.

§52.87 Referral to the ACRS.

The Commission shall refer a copy of the application to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS). The ACRS shall report on those portions of the application which concern safety and shall apply the criteria set forth in §52.81, in accordance with the finality provisions of this part.

§ 52.89 Environmental review.

If the application references an early site permit or a certified standard design, the environmental review must focus on whether the design of the facility falls within the parameters specified in the early site permit and any other significant environmental issue not considered in any previous proceeding on the site or the design. If the application does not reference an early site permit or a certified standard design, the environmental review procedures set out in 10 CFR part 51 must be

followed, including the issuance of a final environmental impact statement, but excluding the issuance of a supplement under §51.95(a).

§ 52.91 Authorization to conduct site activities.

(a)(1) If the application references an early site permit which contains a site redress plan as described in §52.17(c) the applicant is authorized by §52.25 to perform the site preparation activities described in 10 CFR 50.10(e)(1).

(2) If the application does not reference an early site permit which contains a redress plan, the applicant may not perform the site preparation activities allowed by 10 CFR 50.10(e)(1) without first submitting a site redress plan in accord with §52.79(a)(3) and obtaining the separate authorization required by 10 CFR 50.10(e)(1). Authorization must be granted only after the presiding officer in the proceeding on the application has made the findings and determination required by 10 CFR 50.10(e)(2) and has determined that the site redress plan meets the criteria in §52.17(c).

(3) Authorization to conduct the activities described in 10 CFR 50.10(e)(3)(i) may be granted only after the presiding officer in the combined license proceeding makes the additional finding required by 10 CFR 50.10(e)(3)(ii).

(b) If, after an applicant for a combined license has performed the activities permitted by paragraph (a) of this section, the application for the license is withdrawn or denied, and the early site permit referenced by the application expires, then the applicant shall redress the site in accord with the terms of the site redress plan. If, before redress is complete, a use not envisaged in the redress plan is found for the site or parts thereof, the applicant shall carry out the redress plan to the greatest extent possible consistent with the alternate use.

§52.93 Exemptions and variances.

(a) Applicants for a combined license under this subpart, or any amendment to a combined license, may include in the application a request, under 10 CFR 50.12, for an exemption from one or more of the Commission's regulations,

including any part of a design certification rule. The Commission shall grant such a request if it determines that the exemption will comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.12(a) or 52.63(b)(1) if the exemption includes any part of the design certification rule.

(b) An applicant for a combined license, or any amendment to a combined license, who has filed an application referencing an early site permit issued under this subpart may include in the application a request for a variance from one or more elements of the permit. In determining whether to grant the variance, the Commission shall apply the same technically relevant criteria as were applicable to the application for the original or renewed site permit. Issuance of the variance must be subject to litigation during the combined license proceeding in the same manner as other issues material to that proceeding.

§52.97 Issuance of combined licenses.

(a) The Commission shall issue a combined license for a nuclear power facility upon finding that the applicable requirements of 10 CFR 50.40, 50.42, 50.43, 50.47, and 50.50 have been met, and that there is reasonable assurance that the facility will be constructed and operated in conformity with the license, the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act, and the Commission's regulations.

(b)(1) The Commission shall identify within the combined license the inspections, tests, and analyses, including those applicable to emergency planning, that the licensee shall perform, and the acceptance criteria that, if met, are necessary and sufficient to provide reasonable assurance that the facility has been constructed and will be operated in conformity with the license, the provisions of the atomic Energy Act, and the Commission's rules and regulations.

(2)(i) Any modification to, addition to, or deletion from the terms of a combined construction and operating license, including any modification to, addition to, or deletion from the inspections, tests, analyses, or related acceptance criteria contained in the license is a proposed amendment to the

license. There must be an opportunity for a hearing on these amendments.

(ii) The Commission may issue and make immediately effective any amendment to a combined construction and operating license upon a determination by the Commission that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, notwithstanding the pendency before the Commission of a request for a hearing from any person. The amendment may be issued and made immediately effective in advance of the holding and completion of any required hearing. The amendment will be processed in accordance with the procedures specified in 10 CFR 50.91.

[54 FR 15386, Apr. 18, 1989, as amended at 57 FR 60978, Dec. 23, 1992]

§52.99 Inspection during construction.

After issuance of a combined license, the Commission shall ensure that the required inspections, tests, and analyses are performed and, prior to operation of the facility, shall find that the prescribed acceptance criteria are met. Holders of combined licenses shall comply with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.70 and 50.71. At appropriate intervals during construction, the NRC staff shall publish in the Federal Register notices of the successful completion of inspections, tests, and analyses.

[57 FR 60978, Dec. 23, 1992]

§ 52.103 Operation under a combined license.

(a) Not less than one hundred and eighty days before the date scheduled for initial loading of fuel into a plant by a licensee that has been issued a combined construction permit and operating license under subpart C of this part, the Commission shall publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER notice of intended operation. That notice shall provide that any person whose interest may be affected by operation of the plant, may within sixty days request the Commission to hold a hearing on whether the facility as constructed complies, or on completion will comply, with the acceptance criteria of the license.

(b) A request for hearing under paragraph (a) of this section shall show, prima facie, that—

§52.111

- (1) One or more of the acceptance criteria in the combined license have not been, or will not be met; and
- (2) The specific operational consequences of nonconformance that would be contrary to providing reasonable assurance of adequate protection of the public health and safety.
- (c) After receiving a request for a hearing, the Commission expeditiously shall either deny or grant the request. If the request is granted, the Commission shall determine, after considering petitioners' prima facie showing and any answers thereto, whether during a period of interim operation, there will be reasonable assurance of adequate protection of the public health and safety. If the Commission determines that there is such reasonable assurance, it shall allow operation during an interim period under the combined license.
- (d) The Commission, in its discretion, shall determine appropriate hearing procedures, whether informal or formal adjudicatory, for any hearing under paragraph (a) of this section, and shall state its reasons therefor.
- (e) The Commission shall, to the maximum possible extent, render a decision on issues raised by the hearing request within one hundred and eighty days of the publication of the notice provided by paragraph (a) of this section or the anticipated date for initial loading of fuel into the reactor, whichever is later.
- (f) A petition to modify the terms and conditions of the combined license will be processed as a request for action in accord with 10 CFR 2.206. The petitioner shall file the petition with the Secretary of the Commission. Before the licensed activity allegedly affected by the petition (fuel loading, low power testing, etc.) commences, the Commission shall determine whether any immediate action is required. If the petition is granted, then an appropriate order will be issued. Fuel loading and operation under the combined license will not be affected by the granting of the petition unless the order is made immediately effective.
- (g) Prior to operation of the facility, the Commission shall find that the acceptance criteria in the combined license are met. If the combined license

is for a modular design, each reactor module may require a separate finding as construction proceeds.

[57 FR 60978, Dec. 23, 1992]

Subpart D—Violations

§52.111 Violations.

- (a) The Commission may obtain an injunction or other court order to prevent a violation of the provisions of—
- (1) The Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended;
- (2) Title II of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended; or
- (3) A regulation or order issued pursuant to those Acts.
- (b) The Commission may obtain a court order for the payment of a civil penalty imposed under section 234 of the Atomic Energy Act:
 - (1) For violations of—
- (i) Section 53, 57, 62, 63, 81, 82, 101, 103, 104, 107, or 109 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended;
- (ii) Section 206 of the Energy Reorganization Act;
- (iii) Any rule, regulation, or order issued pursuant to the sections specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section:
- (iv) Any term, condition, or limitation of any license issued under the sections specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section.
- (2) For any violation for which a license may be revoked under section 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

[57 FR 55075, Nov. 24, 1992]

§52.113 Criminal penalties.

- (a) Section 223 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, provides for criminal sanctions for willful violation of, attempted violation of, or conspiracy to violate, any regulation issued under sections 161b, 161i, or 161o of the Act. For purposes of section 223, all the regulations in part 52 are issued under one or more of sections 161b, 161i, or 160o, except for the sections listed in paragraph (b) of this section.
- (b) The regulations in part 52 that are not issued under sections 161b, 161i, or 161o for the purposes of section 223 are as follows: §§52.1, 52.3, 52.5, 52.8, 52.11, 52.13, 52.15, 52.17, 52.18, 52.19, 52.21,

52.23, 52.24, 52.27, 52.29, 52.31, 52.33, 52.37, 52.39, 52.41, 52.43, 52.45, 52.47, 52.48, 52.49, 52.51, 52.53, 52.54, 52.55, 52.57, 52.59, 52.61, 52.71, 52.73, 52.75, 52.77, 52.78, 52.79, 52.81, 52.83, 52.85, 52.87, 52.89, 52.93, 52.97, 52.103, 52.111, and 52.113.

 $[57\ FR\ 55075,\ Nov.\ 24,\ 1992,\ as\ amended\ at\ 58\ FR\ 21912,\ Apr.\ 26,\ 1993]$

APPENDIX A TO PART 52—DESIGN CERTIFICATION RULE FOR THE U.S. ADVANCED BOILING WATER REACTOR

I. Introduction

Appendix A constitutes the standard design certification for the U.S. Advanced Boiling Water Reactor (ABWR) design, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 52, Subpart B. The applicant for certification of the U.S. ABWR design was GE Nuclear Energy.

II. Definitions

A. Generic design control document (generic DCD) means the document containing the Tier 1 and Tier 2 information and generic technical specifications that is incorporated by reference into this appendix.

B. Generic technical specifications means the information, required by 10 CFR 50.36 and 50.36a, for the portion of the plant that is within the scope of this appendix.

- C. Plant-specific DCD means the document, maintained by an applicant or licensee who references this appendix, consisting of the information in the generic DCD, as modified and supplemented by the plant-specific departures and exemptions made under Section VIII of this appendix.
- D. Tier 1 means the portion of the designrelated information contained in the generic DCD that is approved and certified by this appendix (hereinafter Tier 1 information). The design descriptions, interface requirements, and site parameters are derived from Tier 2 information. Tier 1 information includes:
 - 1. Definitions and general provisions;
 - Design descriptions;
- 3. Inspections, tests, analyses, and acceptance criteria (ITAAC);
 - 4. Significant site parameters; and
 - 5. Significant interface requirements.
- E. Tier 2 means the portion of the design-related information contained in the generic DCD that is approved but not certified by this appendix (hereinafter Tier 2 information). Compliance with Tier 2 is required, but generic changes to and plant-specific departures from Tier 2 are governed by Section VIII of this appendix. Compliance with Tier 2 provides a sufficient, but not the only acceptable, method for complying with Tier 1. Compliance methods differing from Tier 2 must satisfy the change process in Section VIII of this appendix. Regardless of these dif-

ferences, an applicant or licensee must meet the requirement in Section III.B to reference Tier 2 when referencing Tier 1. Tier 2 information includes:

- 1. Information required by 10 CFR 52.47, with the exception of generic technical specifications and conceptual design information:
- 2. Information required for a final safety analysis report under 10 CFR 50.34;
- 3. Supporting information on the inspections, tests, and analyses that will be performed to demonstrate that the acceptance criteria in the ITAAC have been met; and
- 4. Combined license (COL) action items (COL license information), which identify certain matters that shall be addressed in the site-specific portion of the final safety analysis report (FSAR) by an applicant who references this appendix. These items constitute information requirements but are not the only acceptable set of information in the FSAR. An applicant may depart from or omit these items, provided that the departure or omission is identified and justified in the FSAR. After issuance of a construction permit or COL, these items are not requirements for the licensee unless such items are restated in the FSAR.
- F. Tier 2* means the portion of the Tier 2 information, designated as such in the generic DCD, which is subject to the change process in VIII.B.6 of this appendix. This designation expires for some Tier 2* information under VIII.B.6.
- G. All other terms in this appendix have the meaning set out in 10 CFR 50.2, 10 CFR 52.3, or Section 11 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, as applicable.

III. Scope and Contents

A. Tier 1, Tier 2, and the generic technical specifications in the U.S. ABWR Design Control Document, GE Nuclear Energy, Revision 4 dated March 1997, are approved for incorporation by reference by the Director of the Office of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. Copies of the generic DCD may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. A copy is available for examination and copying at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555. Copies are also available for examination at the NRC Library, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20582 and the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington DC.

B. An applicant or licensee referencing this appendix, in accordance with Section IV of this appendix, shall incorporate by reference and comply with the requirements of this appendix, including Tier 1, Tier 2, and the generic technical specifications except as otherwise provided in this appendix. Conceptual

Pt. 52, App. A

design information, as set forth in the generic DCD, and the "Technical Support Document for the ABWR" are not part of this appendix. Tier 2 references to the probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) in the ABWR Standard Safety Analysis Report do not incorporate the PRA into Tier 2.

 \overline{C} . If there is a conflict between Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the DCD, then Tier 1 controls.

D. If there is a conflict between the generic DCD and either the application for design certification of the U.S. ABWR design or NUREG-1503, "Final Safety Evaluation Report related to the Certification of the Advanced Boiling Water Reactor Design," (FSER) and Supplement No. 1, then the generic DCD controls.

E. Design activities for structures, systems, and components that are wholly outside the scope of this appendix may be performed using site-specific design parameters, provided the design activities do not affect the DCD or conflict with the interface requirements.

IV. Additional Requirements and Restrictions

A. An applicant for a license that wishes to reference this appendix shall, in addition to complying with the requirements of 10 CFR 52.77, 52.78, and 52.79, comply with the following requirements:

- Incorporate by reference, as part of its application, this appendix;
- ${\hbox{\bf 2. Include, as part of its application:}}\\$
- a. A plant-specific DCD containing the same information and utilizing the same organization and numbering as the generic DCD for the U.S. ABWR design, as modified and supplemented by the applicant's exemptions and departures;
- b. The reports on departures from and updates to the plant-specific DCD required by X.B of this appendix;
- c. Plant-specific technical specifications, consisting of the generic and site-specific technical specifications, that are required by 10 CFR 50.36 and 50.36a;
- d. Information demonstrating compliance with the site parameters and interface requirements:
- e. Information that addresses the COL action items; and
- f. Information required by 10 CFR 52.47(a) that is not within the scope of this appendix.
- 3. Physically include, in the plant-specific DCD, the proprietary information and safeguards information referenced in the U.S. ABWR DCD.
- B. The Commission reserves the right to determine in what manner this appendix may be referenced by an applicant for a construction permit or operating license under 10 CFR Part 50.

V. Applicable Regulations

A. Except as indicated in paragraph B of this section, the regulations that apply to the U.S. ABWR design are in 10 CFR Parts 20, 50, 73, and 100, codified as of May 2, 1997, that are applicable and technically relevant, as described in the FSER (NUREG-1503) and Supplement No. 1.

B. The U.S. ABWR design is exempt from portions of the following regulations:

- 1. Paragraph (f)(2)(iv) of 10 CFR 50.34—Separate Plant Safety Parameter Display Console:
- 2. Paragraph (f)(2)(viii) of 10 CFR 50.34—Post-Accident Sampling for Boron, Chloride, and Dissolved Gases; and
- 3. Paragraph (f)(3)(iv) of 10 CFR 50.34—Dedicated Containment Penetration.

VI. Issue Resolution

A. The Commission has determined that the structures, systems, components, and design features of the U.S. ABWR design comply with the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the applicable regulations identified in Section V of this appendix; and therefore, provide adequate protection to the health and safety of the public. A conclusion that a matter is resolved includes the finding that additional or alternative structures, systems, components, design features, design criteria, testing, analyses, acceptance criteria, or justifications are not necessary for the U.S. ABWR design.

B. The Commission considers the following matters resolved within the meaning of 10 CFR 52.63(a)(4) in subsequent proceedings for issuance of a combined license, amendment of a combined license, or renewal of a combined license, proceedings held pursuant to 10 CFR 52.103, and enforcement proceedings involving plants referencing this appendix:

1. All nuclear safety issues, except for the generic technical specifications and other operational requirements, associated with the information in the FSER and Supplement No. 1, Tier 1, Tier 2 (including referenced information which the context indicates is intended as requirements), and the rulemaking record for certification of the U.S. ABWR design;

2. All nuclear safety and safeguards issues associated with the information in proprietary and safeguards documents, referenced and in context, are intended as requirements in the generic DCD for the U.S. ABWR design:

3. All generic changes to the DCD pursuant to and in compliance with the change processes in Sections VIII.A.1 and VIII.B.1 of this appendix;

4. All exemptions from the DCD pursuant to and in compliance with the change processes in Sections VIII.A.4 and VIII.B.4 of this appendix, but only for that proceeding;

5. All departures from the DCD that are approved by license amendment, but only for that proceeding;

6. Except as provided in VIII.B.5.f of this appendix, all departures from Tier 2 pursuant to and in compliance with the change processes in VIII.B.5 of this appendix that do

not require prior NRC approval;

7. All environmental issues concerning severe accident mitigation design alternatives associated with the information in the NRC's final environmental assessment for the U.S. ABWR design and Revision 1 of the Technical Support Document for the U.S. ABWR, dated December 1994, for plants referencing this appendix whose site parameters are within those specified in the Technical Support Document.

C. The Commission does not consider operational requirements for an applicant or licensee who references this appendix to be matters resolved within the meaning of 10 CFR 52.63(a)(4). The Commission reserves the right to require operational requirements for an applicant or licensee who references this appendix by rule, regulation, order, or license condition.

- D. Except in accordance with the change processes in Section VIII of this appendix, the Commission may not require an applicant or licensee who references this appendix to:
- 1. Modify structures, systems, components, or design features as described in the generic DCD:
- 2. Provide additional or alternative structures, systems, components, or design features not discussed in the generic DCD; or
- 3. Provide additional or alternative design criteria, testing, analyses, acceptance criteria, or justification for structures, systems, components, or design features discussed in the generic DCD.
- E.1. Persons who wish to review proprietary and safeguards information or other secondary references in the DCD for the U.S. ABWR design, in order to request or participate in the hearing required by 10 CFR 52.85 or the hearing provided under 10 CFR 52.103, or to request or participate in any other hearing relating to this appendix in which interested persons have adjudicatory hearing rights, shall first request access to such information from GE Nuclear Energy. The request must state with particularity:
- a. The nature of the proprietary or other information sought;
- b. The reason why the information currently available to the public at the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov, and/or at the NRC Public Document Room, is insufficient;
- c. The relevance of the requested information to the hearing issue(s) which the person proposes to raise; and
- d. A showing that the requesting person has the capability to understand and utilize the requested information.

2. If a person claims that the information is necessary to prepare a request for hearing, the request must be filed no later than 15 days after publication in the FEDERAL REG-ISTER of the notice required either by 10 CFR 52.85 or 10 CFR 52.103. If GE Nuclear Energy declines to provide the information sought, GE Nuclear Energy shall send a written response within ten (10) days of receiving the request to the requesting person setting forth with particularity the reasons for its refusal. The person may then request the Commission (or presiding officer, if a proceeding has been established) to order disclosure. The person shall include copies of the original request (and any subsequent clarifying information provided by the requesting party to the applicant) and the applicant's response. The Commission and presiding officer shall base their decisions solely on the person's original request (including any clarifying information provided by the requesting person to GE Nuclear Energy), and GE Nuclear Energy's response. The Commission and presiding officer may order GE Nuclear Energy to provide access to some or all of the requested information, subject to an appropriate non-disclosure agreement.

VII. Duration of This Appendix

This appendix may be referenced for a period of 15 years from June 11, 1997 except as provided for in 10 CFR 52.55(b) and 52.57(b). This appendix remains valid for an applicant or licensee who references this appendix until the application is withdrawn or the license expires, including any period of extended operation under a renewed license.

VIII. Processes for Changes and Departures

A. Tier 1 information.

- 1. Generic changes to Tier 1 information are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 52.63(a)(1).
- 2. Generic changes to Tier 1 information are applicable to all applicants or licensees who reference this appendix, except those for which the change has been rendered technically irrelevant by action taken under paragraphs A.3 or A.4 of this section.
- 3. Departures from Tier 1 information that are required by the Commission through plant-specific orders are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 52.63(a)(3).
- 4. Exemptions from Tier 1 information are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 52.63(b)(1) and §52.97(b). The Commission will deny a request for an exemption from Tier 1, if it finds that the design change will result in a significant decrease in the level of safety otherwise provided by the design.
 - B. Tier 2 information.
- 1. Generic changes to Tier 2 information are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 52.63(a)(1).

Pt. 52, App. A

- 2. Generic changes to Tier 2 information are applicable to all applicants or licensees who reference this appendix, except those for which the change has been rendered technically irrelevant by action taken under paragraphs B.3, B.4, B.5, or B.6 of this section.
- 3. The Commission may not require new requirements on Tier 2 information by plant-specific order while this appendix is in effect under §§ 52.55 or 52.61, unless:
- a. A modification is necessary to secure compliance with the Commission's regulations applicable and in effect at the time this appendix was approved, as set forth in Section V of this appendix, or to assure adequate protection of the public health and safety or the common defense and security; and
- b. Special circumstances as defined in 10 CFR 50.12(a) are present.
- 4. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix may request an exemption from Tier 2 information. The Commission may grant such a request only if it determines that the exemption will comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.12(a). The Commission will deny a request for an exemption from Tier 2, if it finds that the design change will result in a significant decrease in the level of safety otherwise provided by the design. The grant of an exemption to an applicant must be subject to litigation in the same manner as other issues material to the license hearing. The grant of an exemption to a licensee must be subject to an opportunity for a hearing in the same manner as license amendments.
- 5.a. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix may depart from Tier 2 information, without prior NRC approval, unless the proposed departure involves a change to or departure from Tier 1 information, Tier 2* information, or the technical specifications, or involves an unreviewed safety question as defined in paragraphs B.5.b and B.5.c of this section. When evaluating the proposed departure, an applicant or licensee shall consider all matters described in the plant-specific DCD.
- b. A proposed departure from Tier 2, other than one affecting resolution of a severe accident issue identified in the plant-specific DCD, involves an unreviewed safety question if—
- (1) The probability of occurrence or the consequences of an accident or malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the plant-specific DCD may be increased:
- (2) A possibility for an accident or malfunction of a different type than any evaluated previously in the plant-specific DCD may be created; or
- $(\tilde{3})$ The margin of safety as defined in the basis for any technical specification is reduced.

- c. A proposed departure from Tier 2 affecting resolution of a severe accident issue identified in the plant-specific DCD, involves an unreviewed safety question if—
- (1) There is a substantial increase in the probability of a severe accident such that a particular severe accident previously reviewed and determined to be not credible could become credible: or
- (2) There is a substantial increase in the consequences to the public of a particular severe accident previously reviewed.
- d. If a departure involves an unreviewed safety question as defined in paragraph B.5 of this section, it is governed by 10 CFR 50.90. e. A departure from Tier 2 information
- e. A departure from Tier 2 information that is made under paragraph B.5 of this section does not require an exemption from this appendix.
- f. A party to an adjudicatory proceeding for either the issuance, amendment, or renewal of a license or for operation under 10 CFR 52.103(a), who believes that an applicant or licensee who references this appendix has not complied with VIII.B.5 of this appendix when departing from Tier 2 information, may petition to admit into the proceeding such a contention. In addition to compliance with the general requirements of 10 CFR 2.714(b)(2), the petition must demonstrate that the departure does not comply with VIII.B.5 of this appendix. Further, the petition must demonstrate that the change bears on an asserted noncompliance with an ITAAC acceptance criterion in the case of a 10 CFR 52.103 preoperational hearing, or that the change bears directly on the amendment request in the case of a hearing on a license amendment. Any other party may file a response. If, on the basis of the petition and any response, the presiding officer determines that a sufficient showing has been made, the presiding officer shall certify the matter directly to the Commission for determination of the admissibility of the contention. The Commission may admit such a contention if it determines the petition raises a genuine issue of fact regarding compliance with VIII.B.5 of this appendix.
- 6.a. An applicant who references this appendix may not depart from Tier 2* information, which is designated with italicized text or brackets and an asterisk in the generic DCD, without NRC approval. The departure will not be considered a resolved issue, within the meaning of Section VI of this appendix and 10 CFR 52.63(a)(4).
- b. A licensee who references this appendix may not depart from the following Tier 2* matters without prior NRC approval. A request for a departure will be treated as a request for a license amendment under 10 CFR 50.90.
- (1) Fuel burnup limit (4.2).
- (2) Fuel design evaluation (4.2.3).
- (3) Fuel licensing acceptance criteria (Appendix 4B).

- c. A licensee who references this appendix may not, before the plant first achieves full power following the finding required by 10 CFR 52.103(g), depart from the following Tier 2* matters except in accordance with paragraph B.6.b of this section. After the plant first achieves full power, the following Tier 2* matters revert to Tier 2 status and are thereafter subject to the departure provisions in paragraph B.5 of this section.
 (1) ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code,
- Section III.
 - (2) ACI 349 and ANSI/AISC N-690.
- (3) Motor-operated valves.
- (4) Equipment seismic qualification methods.
- (5) Piping design acceptance criteria.
- (6) Fuel system and assembly design (4.2), except burnup limit.
 - (7) Nuclear design (4.3).
- (8) Equilibrium cycle and control rod patterns (App. 4A).
- (9) Control rod licensing acceptance criteria (App. 4C).
- (10) Instrument setpoint methodology.
- (11) EMS performance specifications and
- (12) SSLC hardware and software qualification.
- (13) Self-test system design testing features and commitments.
- (14) Human factors engineering design and implementation process.
- \bar{d} . Departures from Tier 2* information that are made under paragraph B.6 of this section do not require an exemption from this appendix.
 - C. Operational requirements.
- 1. Generic changes to generic technical specifications and other operational requirements that were completely reviewed and approved in the design certification rulemaking and do not require a change to a design feature in the generic DCD are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 50.109. Generic changes that do require a change to a design feature in the generic DCD are governed by the requirements in paragraphs A or B of this section.
- 2. Generic changes to generic technical specifications and other operational requirements are applicable to all applicants or licensees who reference this appendix, except those for which the change has been rendered technically irrelevant by action taken under paragraphs C.3 or C.4 of this section.
- 3. The Commission may require plant-specific departures on generic technical specifications and other operational requirements that were completely reviewed and approved, provided a change to a design feature in the generic DCD is not required and special circumstances as defined in 10 CFR 2.758(b) are present. The Commission may modify or supplement generic technical specifications and other operational requirements that were not completely reviewed and approved or re-

- quire additional technical specifications and other operational requirements on a plantspecific basis, provided a change to a design feature in the generic DCD is not required.
- 4. An applicant who references this appendix may request an exemption from the generic technical specifications or other operational requirements. The Commission may grant such a request only if it determines that the exemption will comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.12(a). The grant of an exemption must be subject to litigation in the same manner as other issues material to the license hearing.
- 5. A party to an adjudicatory proceeding for either the issuance, amendment, or renewal of a license or for operation under 10 CFR 52.103(a), who believes that an operational requirement approved in the DCD or a technical specification derived from the generic technical specifications must be changed may petition to admit into the proceeding such a contention. Such petition must comply with the general requirements of 10 CFR 2.714(b)(2) and must demonstrate why special circumstances as defined in 10 CFR 2.758(b) are present, or for compliance with the Commission's regulations in effect at the time this appendix was approved, as set forth in Section V of this appendix. Any other party may file a response thereto. If, on the basis of the petition and any response, the presiding officer determines that a sufficient showing has been made, the presiding officer shall certify the matter directly to the Commission for determination of the admissibility of the contention. All other issues with respect to the plant-specific technical specifications or other operational requirements are subject to a hearing as part of the license proceeding.
- 6. After issuance of a license, the generic technical specifications have no further effect on the plant-specific technical specifications and changes to the plant-specific technical specifications will be treated as license amendments under 10 CFR 50.90.

IX. Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria (ITAAC)

- A.1 An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall perform and demonstrate conformance with the ITAAC before fuel load. With respect to activities subject to an ITAAC, an applicant for a license may proceed at its own risk with design and procurement activities, and a licensee may proceed at its own risk with design, procurement, construction, and preoperational activities, even though the NRC may not have found that any particular ITAAC has been satisfied.
- 2. The licensee who references this appendix shall notify the NRC that the required inspections, tests, and analyses in the ITAAC have been successfully completed and

Pt. 52, App. A

that the corresponding acceptance criteria have been met.

3. In the event that an activity is subject to an ITAAC, and the applicant or licensee who references this appendix has not demonstrated that the ITAAC has been satisfied, the applicant or licensee may either take corrective actions to successfully complete that ITAAC, request an exemption from the ITAAC in accordance with Section VIII of this appendix and 10 CFR 52.97(b), or petition for rulemaking to amend this appendix by changing the requirements of the ITAAC, under 10 CFR 2.802 and 52.97(b). Such rulemaking changes to the ITAAC must meet the requirements of paragraph VIII.A.1 of this appendix.

B.1 The NRC shall ensure that the required inspections, tests, and analyses in the ITAAC are performed. The NRC shall verify that the inspections, tests, and analyses referenced by the licensee have been successfully completed and, based solely thereon, find the prescribed acceptance criteria have been met. At appropriate intervals during construction, the NRC shall publish notices of the successful completion of ITAAC in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

2. In accordance with 10 CFR 52.99 and 52.103(g), the Commission shall find that the acceptance criteria in the ITAAC for the license are met before fuel load.

3. After the Commission has made the finding required by 10 CFR 52.103(g), the ITAAC do not, by virtue of their inclusion within the DCD, constitute regulatory requirements either for licensees or for renewal of the license; except for specific ITAAC, which are the subject of a Section 103(a) hearing, their expiration will occur upon final Commission action in such proceeding. However, subsequent modifications must comply with the Tier 1 and Tier 2 design descriptions in the plant-specific DCD unless the licensee has complied with the applicable requirements of 10 CFR 52.97 and Section VIII of this appendix.

X. Records and Reporting

A. Records.

1. The applicant for this appendix shall maintain a copy of the generic DCD that includes all generic changes to Tier 1 and Tier 2. The applicant shall maintain the proprietary and safeguards information referenced in the generic DCD for the period that this appendix may be referenced, as specified in Section VII of this appendix.

2. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall maintain the plant-specific DCD to accurately reflect both generic changes to the generic DCD and plant-specific departures made pursuant to Section VIII of this appendix throughout the period of application and for the term of the license (including any period of renewal).

3. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall prepare and maintain written safety evaluations which provide the bases for the determinations required by Section VIII of this appendix. These evaluations must be retained throughout the period of application and for the term of the license (including any period of renewal).

B. Reporting.

- 1. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall submit a report to the NRC containing a brief description of any departures from the plant-specific DCD, including a summary of the safety evaluation of each. This report must be filed in accordance with the filing requirements applicable to reports in 10 CFR 50.4.
- 2. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall submit updates to its plant-specific DCD, which reflect the generic changes to the generic DCD and the plant-specific departures made pursuant to Section VIII of this appendix. These updates shall be filed in accordance with the filing requirements applicable to final safety analysis report updates in 10 CFR 50.4 and 50.71(e).
- 3. The reports and updates required by paragraphs B.1 and B.2 of this section must be submitted as follows:
- a. On the date that an application for a license referencing this appendix is submitted, the application shall include the report and any updates to the plant-specific DCD.
- b. During the interval from the date of application to the date of issuance of a license, the report and any updates to the plant-specific DCD must be submitted annually and may be submitted along with amendments to the application.
- c. During the interval from the date of issuance of a license to the date the Commission makes its findings under 10 CFR 52.103(g), the report must be submitted quarterly. Updates to the plant-specific DCD must be submitted annually.
- d. After the Commission has made its finding under 10 CFR 52.103(g), reports and updates to the plant-specific DCD may be submitted annually or along with updates to the site-specific portion of the final safety analysis report for the facility at the intervals required by 10 CFR 50.71(e), or at shorter intervals as specified in the license.

[62 FR 25827, May 12, 1997; 62 FR 27293, May 19, 1997, as amended at 64 FR 48953, Sept. 9,

APPENDIX B TO PART 52—DESIGN CER-TIFICATION RULE FOR THE SYSTEM 80+ DESIGN

I. Introduction

Appendix B constitutes design certification for the System 80+1 standard plant design, in accordance with 10 CFR part 52, subpart B. The applicant for certification of the System 80+ design was Combustion Engineering, Inc. (ABB-CE).

II. Definitions

A. Generic design control document (generic DCD) means the document containing the Tier 1 and Tier 2 information and generic technical specifications that is incorporated by reference into this appendix.

B. Generic technical specifications means the information, required by 10 CFR 50.36 and 50.36a, for the portion of the plant that is within the scope of this appendix.

- C. Plant-specific DCD means the document, maintained by an applicant or licensee who references this appendix, consisting of the information in the generic DCD, as modified and supplemented by the plant-specific departures and exemptions made under Section VIII of this appendix.
- D. Tier 1 means the portion of the designrelated information contained in the generic DCD that is approved and certified by this appendix (hereinafter Tier 1 information). The design descriptions, interface requirements, and site parameters are derived from Tier 2 information. Tier 1 information includes:
 - 1. Definitions and general provisions;
 - 2. Design descriptions;
- 3. Inspections, tests, analyses, and acceptance criteria (ITAAC):
 - 4. Significant site parameters; and
 - 5. Significant interface requirements.
- E. Tier 2 means the portion of the designrelated information contained in the generic DCD that is approved but not certified by this appendix (hereinafter Tier 2 information). Compliance with Tier 2 is required, but generic changes to and plant-specific departures from Tier 2 are governed by Section VIII of this appendix. Compliance with Tier 2 provides a sufficient, but not the only acceptable, method for complying with Tier 1. Compliance methods differing from Tier 2 must satisfy the change process in Section VIII of this appendix. Regardless of these differences, an applicant or licensee must meet the requirement in Section III.B to reference Tier 2 when referencing Tier 1. Tier 2 information includes:
- 1. Information required by 10 CFR 52.47, with the exception of generic technical spec-

1"System 80+" is a trademark of Combustion Engineering, Inc.

- ifications and conceptual design informa-
- 2. Information required for a final safety analysis report under 10 CFR 50.34;
- 3. Supporting information on the inspections, tests, and analyses that will be performed to demonstrate that the acceptance criteria in the ITAAC have been met; and
- 4. Combined license (COL) action items (COL license information), which identify certain matters that shall be addressed in the site-specific portion of the final safety analysis report (FSAR) by an applicant who references this appendix. These items constitute information requirements but are not the only acceptable set of information in the FSAR. An applicant may depart from or omit these items, provided that the departure or omission is identified and justified in the FSAR. After issuance of a construction permit or COL, these items are not requirements for the licensee unless such items are restated in the FSAR.
- F. Tier 2* means the portion of the Tier 2 information, designated as such in the generic DCD, which is subject to the change process in VIII.B.6 of this appendix. This designation expires for some Tier 2* information under VIII.B 6
- $\mbox{\bf G}.$ All other terms in this appendix have the meaning set out in 10 CFR 50.2, 10 CFR 52.3, or Section 11 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, as applicable.

III. Scope and Contents

A. Tier 1, Tier 2, and the generic technical specifications in the System 80+ Design Control Document, ABB-CE, with revisions dated January 1997, are approved for incorporation by reference by the Director of the Office of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. Copies of the generic DCD may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. A copy is available for examination and copying at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555. Copies are also available for examination at the NRC Library, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20582 and the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

B. An applicant or licensee referencing this appendix, in accordance with Section IV of this appendix, shall incorporate by reference and comply with the requirements of this appendix, including Tier 1, Tier 2, and the generic technical specifications except as otherwise provided in this appendix. Conceptual design information, as set forth in the generic DCD, and the Technical Support Document for the System 80+ design are not part of this appendix.

C. If there is a conflict between Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the DCD, then Tier 1 controls.

Pt. 52, App. B

- D. If there is a conflict between the generic DCD and either the application for design certification of the System 80+ design or NUREG-1462, "Final Safety Evaluation Report related to the Certification of the System 80+ Design," (FSER) and Supplement No. 1, then the generic DCD controls.
- E. Design activities for structures, systems, and components that are wholly outside the scope of this appendix may be performed using site-specific design parameters, provided the design activities do not affect the DCD or conflict with the interface requirements.

IV. Additional Requirements and Restrictions

- A. An applicant for a license that wishes to reference this appendix shall, in addition to complying with the requirements of 10 CFR 52.78, and 52.79, comply with the following requirements:
- 1. Incorporate by reference, as part of its application, this appendix;
- 2. Include, as part of its application:
- a. A plant-specific DCD containing the same information and utilizing the same organization and numbering as the generic DCD for the System 80+ design, as modified and supplemented by the applicant's exemptions and departures;
- b. The reports on departures from and updates to the plant-specific DCD required by X.B of this appendix;
- c. Plant-specific technical specifications, consisting of the generic and site-specific technical specifications, that are required by 10 CFR 50.36 and 50.36a;
- d. Information demonstrating compliance with the site parameters and interface requirements;
- e. Information that addresses the COL action items; and
- f. Information required by 10 CFR 52.47(a) that is not within the scope of this appendix.
- 3. Physically include, in the plant-specific DCD, the proprietary information referenced in the System 80+ DCD.
- B. The Commission reserves the right to determine in what manner this appendix may be referenced by an applicant for a construction permit or operating license under 10 CFR Part 50.

V. Applicable Regulations

- A. Except as indicated in paragraph B of this section, the regulations that apply to the System 80+ design are in 10 CFR Parts 20, 50, 73, and 100, codified as of May 9, 1997, that are applicable and technically relevant, as described in the FSER (NUREG-1462) and Supplement No. 1.
- B. The System 80+ design is exempt from portions of the following regulations:
- 1. Paragraph (f)(2)(iv) of 10 CFR 50.34—Separate Plant Safety Parameter Display Console;

- 2. Paragraphs (f)(2) (vii), (viii), (xxvi), and (xxviii) of 10 CFR 50.34—Accident Source Terms:
- 3. Paragraph (f)(2)(viii) of 10 CFR 50.34—Post-Accident Sampling for Hydrogen, Boron, Chloride, and Dissolved Gases;
- 4. Paragraph (f)(3)(iv) of 10 CFR 50.34—Dedicated Containment Penetration; and
- 5. Paragraphs III.A.1(a) and III.C.3(b) of Appendix J to 10 CFR 50—Containment Leakage Testing.

VI. Issue Resolution

- A. The Commission has determined that the structures, systems, components, and design features of the System 80+ design comply with the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the applicable regulations identified in Section V of this appendix; and therefore, provide adequate protection to the health and safety of the public. A conclusion that a matter is resolved includes the finding that additional or alternative structures, systems, components, design features, design criteria, testing, analyses, acceptance criteria, or justifications are not necessary for the System 80+ design.
- B. The Commission considers the following matters resolved within the meaning of 10 CFR 52.63(a)(4) in subsequent proceedings for issuance of a combined license, amendment of a combined license, or renewal of a combined license, proceedings held pursuant to 10 CFR 52.103, and enforcement proceedings involving plants referencing this appendix:
- 1. All nuclear safety issues, except for the generic technical specifications and other operational requirements, associated with the information in the FSER and Supplement No. 1, Tier 1, Tier 2 (including referenced information which the context indicates is intended as requirements), and the rulemaking record for certification of the System 80+ design;
- 2. All nuclear safety issues associated with the information in proprietary documents, referenced and in context, are intended as requirements in the generic DCD for the System 80+ design;
- 3. All generic changes to the DCD pursuant to and in compliance with the change processes in Sections VIII.A.1 and VIII.B.1 of this appendix:
- 4. All exemptions from the DCD pursuant to and in compliance with the change processes in Sections VIII.A.4 and VIII.B.4 of this appendix, but only for that proceeding;
- 5. All departures from the DCD that are approved by license amendment, but only for that proceeding;
- 6. Except as provided in VIII.B.5.f of this appendix, all departures from Tier 2 pursuant to and in compliance with the change processes in VIII.B.5 of this appendix that do not require prior NRC approval;

- 7. All environmental issues concerning severe accident mitigation design alternatives associated with the information in the NRC's final environmental assessment for the System 80+ design and the Technical Support Document for the System 80+ design, dated January 1995, for plants referencing this appendix whose site parameters are within those specified in the Technical Support Document.
- C. The Commission does not consider operational requirements for an applicant or licensee who references this appendix to be matters resolved within the meaning of 10 CFR 52.63(a)(4). The Commission reserves the right to require operational requirements for an applicant or licensee who references this appendix by rule, regulation, order, or license condition.
- D. Except in accordance with the change processes in Section VIII of this appendix, the Commission may not require an applicant or licensee who references this appendix to:
- 1. Modify structures, systems, components, or design features as described in the generic DCD;
- 2. Provide additional or alternative structures, systems, components, or design features not discussed in the generic DCD; or
- 3. Provide additional or alternative design criteria, testing, analyses, acceptance criteria, or justification for structures, systems, components, or design features discussed in the generic DCD.
- E.1. Persons who wish to review proprietary information or other secondary references in the DCD for the System 80+ design, in order to request or participate in the hearing required by 10 CFR 52.85 or the hearing provided under 10 CFR 52.103, or to request or participate in any other hearing relating to this appendix in which interested persons have adjudicatory hearing rights, shall first request access to such information from ABB-CE. THE REQUEST MUST STATE with particularity:
- a. The nature of the proprietary or other information sought;
- b. The reason why the information currently available to the public at the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov, and/or at the NRC Public Document Room, is insufficient.
- c. The relevance of the requested information to the hearing issue(s) which the person proposes to raise; and $\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty$
- d. A showing that the requesting person has the capability to understand and utilize the requested information.
- 2. If a person claims that the information is necessary to prepare a request for hearing, the request must be filed no later than 15 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of the notice required either by 10 CFR 52.85 or 10 CFR 52.103. If ABB-CE declines to provide the information sought, ABB-CE shall send a written response within ten (10)

days of receiving the request to the requesting person setting forth with particularity the reasons for its refusal. The person may then request the Commission (or presiding officer, if a proceeding has been established) to order disclosure. The person shall include copies of the original request (and any subsequent clarifying information provided by the requesting party to the applicant) and the applicant's response. The Commission and presiding officer shall base their decisions solely on the person's original request (including any clarifying information provided by the requesting person to ABB-CE), and ABB-CE's response. The Commission and presiding officer may order ABB-CE to provide access to some or all of the requested information, subject to an appropriate nondisclosure agreement.

VII. Duration of This Appendix

This appendix may be referenced for a period of 15 years from June 20, 1997, except as provided for in 10 CFR 52.55(b) and 52.57(b). This appendix remains valid for an applicant or licensee who references this appendix until the application is withdrawn or the license expires, including any period of extended operation under a renewed license.

VIII. Processes for Changes and Departures

A. Tier 1 information.

- 1. Generic changes to Tier 1 information are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 52.63(a)(1).
- 2. Generic changes to Tier 1 information are applicable to all applicants or licensees who reference this appendix, except those for which the change has been rendered technically irrelevant by action taken under paragraphs A.3 or A.4 of this section.
- 3. Departures from Tier 1 information that are required by the Commission through plant-specific orders are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 52.63(a)(3).
- 4. Exemptions from Tier 1 information are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 52.63(b)(1) and §52.97(b). The Commission will deny a request for an exemption from Tier 1, if it finds that the design change will result in a significant decrease in the level of safety otherwise provided by the design.
 - B. Tier 2 information.
- 1. Generic changes to Tier 2 information are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 52.63(a)(1).
- 2. Generic changes to Tier 2 information are applicable to all applicants or licensees who reference this appendix, except those for which the change has been rendered technically irrelevant by action taken under paragraphs B.3, B.4, B.5, or B.6 of this section.
- 3. The Commission may not require new requirements on Tier 2 information by plant-

Pt. 52, App. B

specific order while this appendix is in effect under §§ 52.55 or 52.61, unless:

- a. A modification is necessary to secure compliance with the Commission's regulations applicable and in effect at the time this appendix was approved, as set forth in Section V of this appendix, or to assure adequate protection of the public health and safety or the common defense and security; and
- b. Special circumstances as defined in 10 CFR 50.12(a) are present.
- 4. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix may request an exemption from Tier 2 information. The Commission may grant such a request only if it determines that the exemption will comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.12(a). The Commission will deny a request for an exemption from Tier 2, if it finds that the design change will result in a significant decrease in the level of safety otherwise provided by the design. The grant of an exemption to an applicant must be subject to litigation in the same manner as other issues material to the license hearing. The grant of an exemption to a licensee must be subject to an opportunity for a hearing in the same manner as license amendments.
- 5.a. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix may depart from Tier 2 information, without prior NRC approval, unless the proposed departure involves a change to or departure from Tier 1 information, Tier 2* information, or the technical specifications, or involves an unreviewed safety question as defined in paragraphs B.5.b and B.5.c of this section. When evaluating the proposed departure, an applicant or licensee shall consider all matters described in the plant-specific DCD.
- b. A proposed departure from Tier 2, other than one affecting resolution of a severe accident issue identified in the plant-specific DCD, involves an unreviewed safety question if—
- (1) The probability of occurrence or the consequences of an accident or malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the plant-specific DCD may be increased:
- (2) A possibility for an accident or malfunction of a different type than any evaluated previously in the plant-specific DCD may be created; or
- (3) The margin of safety as defined in the basis for any technical specification is reduced
- c. A proposed departure from Tier 2 affecting resolution of a severe accident issue identified in the plant-specific DCD, involves an unreviewed safety question if—
- (1) There is a substantial increase in the probability of a severe accident such that a particular severe accident previously reviewed and determined to be not credible could become credible; or

- (2) There is a substantial increase in the consequences to the public of a particular severe accident previously reviewed.
- d. If a departure involves an unreviewed safety question as defined in paragraph B.5 of this section, it is governed by 10 CFR 50.90.

 e. A departure from Tier 2 information
- e. A departure from Tier 2 information that is made under paragraph B.5 of this section does not require an exemption from this appendix.
- f. A party to an adjudicatory proceeding for either the issuance, amendment, or renewal of a license or for operation under 10 CFR 52.103(a), who believes that an applicant or licensee who references this appendix has not complied with VIII.B.5 of this appendix when departing from Tier 2 information, may petition to admit into the proceeding such a contention. In addition to compliance with the general requirements of 10 CFR the petition must demonstrate 2.714(b)(2). that the departure does not comply with VIII.B.5 of this appendix. Further, the petition must demonstrate that the change bears on an asserted noncompliance with an ITAAC acceptance criterion in the case of a 10 CFR 52.103 preoperational hearing, or that the change bears directly on the amendment request in the case of a hearing on a license amendment. Any other party may file a response. If, on the basis of the petition and any response, the presiding officer determines that a sufficient showing has been made, the presiding officer shall certify the matter directly to the Commission for determination of the admissibility of the contention. The Commission may admit such a contention if it determines the petition raises a genuine issue of fact regarding compliance with VIII.B.5 of this appendix.
- 6.a. An applicant who references this appendix may not depart from Tier 2* information, which is designated with italicized text or brackets and an asterisk in the generic DCD, without NRC approval. The departure will not be considered a resolved issue, within the meaning of Section VI of this appendix and 10 CFR 52.63(a)(4).
- b. A licensee who references this appendix may not depart from the following Tier 2* matters without prior NRC approval. A request for a departure will be treated as a request for a license amendment under 10 CFR 50.90.
 - (1) Maximum fuel rod average burnup.
- (2) Control room human factors engineering.
- c. A licensee who references this appendix may not, before the plant first achieves full power following the finding required by 10 CFR 52.103(g), depart from the following Tier 2* matters except in accordance with paragraph B.6.b of this section. After the plant first achieves full power, the following Tier 2* matters revert to Tier 2 status and are thereafter subject to the departure provisions in paragraph B.5 of this section.

- (1) ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section III.
 - (2) ACI 349 and ANSI/AISC N-690.
- (3) Motor-operated valves.
- (4) Equipment seismic qualification methods.
 - (5) Piping design acceptance criteria.
- (6) Fuel and control rod design, except burnup limit.
- (7) Instrumentation & controls setpoint methodology.
- (8) Instrumentation & controls hardware and software changes.
- (9) Instrumentation & controls environmental qualification.
- (10) Seismic design criteria for non-seismic category I structures.
- d. Departures from Tier 2* information that are made under paragraph B.6 of this section do not require an exemption from this appendix.
- C. Operational requirements.
- 1. Generic changes to generic technical specifications and other operational requirements that were completely reviewed and approved in the design certification rulemaking and do not require a change to a design feature in the generic DCD are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 50.109. Generic changes that do require a change to a design feature in the generic DCD are governed by the requirements in paragraphs A or B of this section.
- 2. Generic changes to generic technical specifications and other operational requirements are applicable to all applicants or licensees who reference this appendix, except those for which the change has been rendered technically irrelevant by action taken under paragraphs C.3 or C.4 of this section.
- 3. The Commission may require plant-specific departures on generic technical specifications and other operational requirements that were completely reviewed and approved, provided a change to a design feature in the generic DCD is not required and special circumstances as defined in 10 CFR 2.758(b) are present. The Commission may modify or supplement generic technical specifications and other operational requirements that were not completely reviewed and approved or require additional technical specifications and other operational requirements on a plant-specific basis, provided a change to a design feature in the generic DCD is not required.
- 4. An applicant who references this appendix may request an exemption from the generic technical specifications or other operational requirements. The Commission may grant such a request only if it determines that the exemption will comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.12(a). The grant of an exemption must be subject to litigation in the same manner as other issues material to the license hearing.
- 5. A party to an adjudicatory proceeding for either the issuance, amendment, or re-

- newal of a license or for operation under 10 CFR 52.103(a), who believes that an operational requirement approved in the DCD or a technical specification derived from the generic technical specifications must be changed may petition to admit into the proceeding such a contention. Such petition must comply with the general requirements of 10 CFR 2.714(b)(2) and must demonstrate why special circumstances as defined in 10 CFR 2.758(b) are present, or for compliance with the Commission's regulations in effect at the time this appendix was approved, as set forth in Section V of this appendix. Any other party may file a response thereto. If, on the basis of the petition and any response, the presiding officer determines that a sufficient showing has been made, the presiding officer shall certify the matter directly to the Commission for determination of the admissibility of the contention. All other issues with respect to the plant-specific technical specifications or other operational requirements are subject to a hearing as part of the license proceeding.

 6. After issuance of a license, the generic
- 6. After issuance of a license, the generic technical specifications have no further effect on the plant-specific technical specifications and changes to the plant-specific technical specifications will be treated as license amendments under 10 CFR 50.90.

IX. Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria (ITAAC)

- A.1 An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall perform and demonstrate conformance with the ITAAC before fuel load. With respect to activities subject to an ITAAC, an applicant for a license may proceed at its own risk with design and procurement activities, and a licensee may proceed at its own risk with design, procurement, construction, and preoperational activities, even though the NRC may not have found that any particular ITAAC has been satisfied.
- 2. The licensee who references this appendix shall notify the NRC that the required inspections, tests, and analyses in the ITAAC have been successfully completed and that the corresponding acceptance criteria have been met.
- 3. In the event that an activity is subject to an ITAAC, and the applicant or licensee who references this appendix has not demonstrated that the ITAAC has been satisfied, the applicant or licensee may either take corrective actions to successfully complete that ITAAC, request an exemption from the ITAAC in accordance with Section VIII of this appendix and 10 CFR 52.97(b), or petition for rulemaking to amend this appendix by changing the requirements of the ITAAC, under 10 CFR 2.802 and 52.97(b). Such rulemaking changes to the ITAAC must meet the requirements of paragraph VIII.A.1 of this appendix.

Pt. 52, App. C

- B.1 The NRC shall ensure that the required inspections, tests, and analyses in the ITAAC are performed. The NRC shall verify that the inspections, tests, and analyses referenced by the licensee have been successfully completed and, based solely thereon, find the prescribed acceptance criteria have been met. At appropriate intervals during construction, the NRC shall publish notices of the successful completion of ITAAC in the FEDERAL REGISTER.
- 2. In accordance with 10 CFR 52.99 and 52.103(g), the Commission shall find that the acceptance criteria in the ITAAC for the license are met before fuel load.
- 3. After the Commission has made the finding required by 10 CFR 52.103(g), the ITAAC do not, by virtue of their inclusion within the DCD, constitute regulatory requirements either for licensees or for renewal of the license; except for specific ITAAC, which are the subject of a Section 103(a) hearing, their expiration will occur upon final Commission action in such proceeding. However, subsequent modifications must comply with the Tier 1 and Tier 2 design descriptions in the plant-specific DCD unless the licensee has complied with the applicable requirements of 10 CFR 52.97 and Section VIII of this appendix.

X. Records and Reporting

A. Records

- 1. The applicant for this appendix shall maintain a copy of the generic DCD that includes all generic changes to Tier 1 and Tier 2. The applicant shall maintain the proprietary and safeguards information referenced in the generic DCD for the period that this appendix may be referenced, as specified in Section VII of this appendix.
- 2. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall maintain the plant-specific DCD to accurately reflect both generic changes to the generic DCD and plant-specific departures made pursuant to Section VIII of this appendix throughout the period of application and for the term of the license (including any period of renewal).
- 3. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall prepare and maintain written safety evaluations which provide the bases for the determinations required by Section VIII of this appendix. These evaluations must be retained throughout the period of application and for the term of the license (including any period of renewal).

B. Reporting

1. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall submit a report to the NRC containing a brief description of any departures from the plant-specific DCD, including a summary of the safety evaluation of each. This report must be filed in accordance

- with the filing requirements applicable to reports in 10 CFR 50.4.
- 2. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall submit updates to its plant-specific DCD, which reflect the generic changes to the generic DCD and the plant-specific departures made pursuant to Section VIII of this appendix. These updates shall be filed in accordance with the filing requirements applicable to final safety analysis report updates in 10 CFR 50.4 and 50.71(e).
- 3. The reports and updates required by paragraphs B.1 and B.2 of this section must be submitted as follows:
- a. On the date that an application for a license referencing this appendix is submitted, the application shall include the report and any updates to the plant-specific DCD.
- b. During the interval from the date of application to the date of issuance of a license, the report and any updates to the plant-specific DCD must be submitted annually and may be submitted along with amendments to the application.
- c. During the interval from the date of issuance of a license to the date the Commission makes its findings under 10 CFR 52.103(g), the report must be submitted quarterly. Updates to the plant-specific DCD must be submitted annually.
- d. After the Commission has made its finding under 10 CFR 52.103(g), reports and updates to the plant-specific DCD may be submitted annually or along with updates to the site-specific portion of the final safety analysis report for the facility at the intervals required by 10 CFR 50.71(e), or at shorter intervals as specified in the license.

[62 FR 27867, May 21, 1997, as amended at 64 FR 48953, Sept. 9, 1999]

APPENDIX C TO PART 52—DESIGN CERTIFICATION RULE FOR THE AP600 DESIGN

I. INTRODUCTION

Appendix C constitutes the standard design certification for the AP600 $^{\circ}$ design, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 52, Subpart B. The applicant for certification of the AP600 design is Westinghouse Electric Company LLC

II. DEFINITIONS

- A. Generic design control document (generic DCD) means the document containing the Tier 1 and Tier 2 information and generic technical specifications that is incorporated by reference into this appendix.
- B. Generic technical specifications means the information, required by 10 CFR 50.36 and

 $^{^{\}rm 1}\mbox{AP600}$ is a trademark of Westinghouse Electric Company LLC.

50.36a, for the portion of the plant that is within the scope of this appendix.

- C. Plant-specific DCD means the document, maintained by an applicant or licensee who references this appendix, consisting of the information in the generic DCD, as modified and supplemented by the plant-specific departures and exemptions made under Section VIII of this appendix.
- D. *Tier 1* means the portion of the designrelated information contained in the generic DCD that is approved and certified by this appendix (hereinafter Tier 1 information). The design descriptions, interface requirements, and site parameters are derived from Tier 2 information. Tier 1 information includes:
 - 1. Definitions and general provisions;
 - 2. Design descriptions;
- 3. Inspections, tests, analyses, and acceptance criteria (ITAAC);
 - ${\it 4. \ Significant \ site \ parameters; \ and }$
 - 5. Significant interface requirements.
- E. Tier 2 means the portion of the designrelated information contained in the generic DCD that is approved but not certified by this appendix (hereinafter Tier 2 information). Compliance with Tier 2 is required, but generic changes to and plant-specific departures from Tier 2 are governed by Section VIII of this appendix. Compliance with Tier 2 provides a sufficient, but not the only acceptable, method for complying with Tier 1. Compliance methods differing from Tier 2 must satisfy the change process in Section VIII of this appendix. Regardless of these differences, an applicant or licensee must meet the requirement in Section III.B to reference Tier 2 when referencing Tier 1. Tier 2 information includes:
- 1. Information required by 10 CFR 52.47, with the exception of generic technical specifications and conceptual design information:
- 2. Information required for a final safety analysis report under $10 \ \text{CFR} \ 50.34;$
- 3. Supporting information on the inspections, tests, and analyses that will be performed to demonstrate that the acceptance criteria in the ITAAC have been met; and
- 4. Combined license (COL) action items (combined license information), which identify certain matters that shall be addressed in the site-specific portion of the final safety analysis report (FSAR) by an applicant who references this appendix. These items constitute information requirements but are not the only acceptable set of information in the FSAR. An applicant may depart from or omit these items, provided that the departure or omission is identified and justified in the FSAR. After issuance of a construction permit or COL, these items are not requirements for the licensee unless such items are restated in the FSAR.

- 5. The investment protection short-term availability controls in Section 16.3 of the DCD.
- F. *Tier 2** means the portion of the Tier 2 information, designated as such in the generic DCD, which is subject to the change process in VIII.B.6 of this appendix. This designation expires for some Tier 2* information under VIII.B.6.
- G. All other terms in this appendix have the meaning set out in 10 CFR 50.2, 10 CFR 52.3, or Section 11 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, as applicable.

III. SCOPE AND CONTENTS

A. Tier 1, Tier 2 (including the investment protection short-term availability controls in Section 16.3), and the generic technical specifications in the AP600 DCD (12/99 revision) are approved for incorporation by reference by the Director of the Office of the Federal Register on January 24, 2000 in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. Copies of the generic DCD may be obtained from Mr. Brian A. McIntyre, Manager, Advanced Plant Safety and Licensing, Westinghouse Electric Company, P.O. Box 355, Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355. A copy of the generic DCD is available for examination and copying at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555-0001. Copies are also available for examination at the NRC Library, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20582; and the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

B. An applicant or licensee referencing this appendix, in accordance with Section IV of this appendix, shall incorporate by reference and comply with the requirements of this appendix, including Tier 1, Tier 2 (including the investment protection short-term availability controls in Section 16.3), and the generic technical specifications except as otherwise provided in this appendix. Conceptual design information in the generic DCD and the evaluation of severe accident mitigation design alternatives in Appendix 1B of the generic DCD are not part of this appendix.

C. If there is a conflict between Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the DCD, then Tier 1 controls.

- D. If there is a conflict between the generic DCD and either the application for design certification of the AP600 design or NUREG-1512, "Final Safety Evaluation Report Related to Certification of the AP600 Standard Design," (FSER), then the generic DCD controls.
- E. Design activities for structures, systems, and components that are wholly outside the scope of this appendix may be performed using site-specific design parameters, provided the design activities do not affect the DCD or conflict with the interface requirements.

Pt. 52, App. C

IV. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS

A. An applicant for a license that wishes to reference this appendix shall, in addition to complying with the requirements of 10 CFR 52.77, 52.78, and 52.79, comply with the following requirements:

- 1. Incorporate by reference, as part of its application, this appendix.
- 2. Include, as part of its application:
- a. A plant-specific DCD containing the same information and utilizing the same organization and numbering as the AP600 DCD, as modified and supplemented by the applicant's exemptions and departures;
- b. The reports on departures from and updates to the plant-specific DCD required by X.B of this appendix;
- c. Plant-specific technical specifications, consisting of the generic and site-specific technical specifications, that are required by 10 CFR 50.36 and 50.36a;
- d. Information demonstrating compliance with the site parameters and interface requirements;
- e. Information that addresses the COL action items; and
- f. Information required by 10 CFR 52.47(a) that is not within the scope of this appendix.
- 3. Physically include, in the plant-specific DCD, the proprietary and safeguards information referenced in the AP600 DCD.
- B. The Commission reserves the right to determine in what manner this appendix may be referenced by an applicant for a construction permit or operating license under Part 50.

V. APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

A. Except as indicated in paragraph B of this section, the regulations that apply to the AP600 design are in 10 CFR Parts 20, 50, 73, and 100, codified as of December 16, 1999, that are applicable and technically relevant, as described in the FSER (NUREG-1512) and the supplementary information for this section

- B. The AP600 design is exempt from portions of the following regulations:
- 1. Paragraph (a)(1) of 10 CFR 50.34—whole body dose criterion;
- 2. Paragraph (f)(2)(iv) of 10 CFR 50.34—Plant Safety Parameter Display Console;
- 3. Paragraphs (f)(2)(vii), (viii), (xxvi), and (xxviii) of 10 CFR 50.34—Accident Source Term in TID 14844:
- 4. Paragraph (a)(2) of 10 CFR 50.55a—ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code;
- 5. Paragraph (c)(1) of 10 CFR 50.62—Auxiliary (or emergency) feedwater system;
- 6. Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50, GDC 17—Offsite Power Sources; and
- 7. Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50, GDC 19—whole body dose criterion.

VI ISSUE RESOLUTION

A. The Commission has determined that the structures, systems, components, and design features of the AP600 design comply with the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the applicable regulations identified in Section V of this appendix; and therefore, provide adequate protection to the health and safety of the public. A conclusion that a matter is resolved includes the finding that additional or alternative structures, systems, components, design features, design criteria, testing, analyses, acceptance criteria, or justifications are not necessary for the AP600 design.

B. The Commission considers the following matters resolved within the meaning of 10 CFR 52.63(a)(4) in subsequent proceedings for issuance of a combined license, amendment of a combined license, or renewal of a combined license, proceedings held pursuant to 10 CFR 52.103, and enforcement proceedings involving plants referencing this appendix:

- 1. All nuclear safety issues, except for the generic technical specifications and other operational requirements, associated with the information in the FSER, Tier 1, Tier 2 (including referenced information, which the context indicates is intended as requirements, and the investment protection short-term availability controls in Section 16.3), and the rulemaking record for certification of the AP600 design;
- 2. All nuclear safety and safeguards issues associated with the information in proprietary and safeguards documents, referenced and in context, are intended as requirements in the generic DCD for the AP600 design;
- 3. All generic changes to the DCD pursuant to and in compliance with the change processes in Sections VIII.A.1 and VIII.B.1 of this appendix;
- 4. All exemptions from the DCD pursuant to and in compliance with the change processes in Sections VIII.A.4 and VIII.B.4 of this appendix, but only for that proceeding;

5. All departures from the DCD that are approved by license amendment, but only for

that proceeding;

- 6. Except as provided in VIII.B.5.f of this appendix, all departures from Tier 2 pursuant to and in compliance with the change processes in VIII.B.5 of this appendix that do not require prior NRC approval;
- 7. All environmental issues concerning severe accident mitigation design alternatives (SAMDAs) associated with the information in the NRC's environmental assessment for the AP600 design and Appendix 1B of the generic DCD, for plants referencing this appendix whose site parameters are within those specified in the SAMDA evaluation.
- C. The Commission does not consider operational requirements for an applicant or licensee who references this appendix to be matters resolved within the meaning of 10

CFR 52.63(a)(4). The Commission reserves the right to require operational requirements for an applicant or licensee who references this appendix by rule, regulation, order, or license condition.

- D. Except in accordance with the change processes in Section VIII of this appendix, the Commission may not require an applicant or licensee who references this appendix to:
- 1. Modify structures, systems, components, or design features as described in the generic DCD;
- 2. Provide additional or alternative structures, systems, components, or design features not discussed in the generic DCD; or
- 3. Provide additional or alternative design criteria, testing, analyses, acceptance criteria, or justification for structures, systems, components, or design features discussed in the generic DCD.
- E.1. Persons who wish to review proprietary and safeguards information or other secondary references in the AP600 DCD, in order to request or participate in the hearing required by 10 CFR 52.85 or the hearing provided under 10 CFR 52.103, or to request or participate in any other hearing relating to this appendix in which interested persons have adjudicatory hearing rights, shall first request access to such information from Westinghouse. The request must state with particularity:
- a. The nature of the proprietary or other information sought;
- b. The reason why the information currently available to the public at the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov, and/or at the NRC's Public Document Room, is insufficient;
- c. The relevance of the requested information to the hearing issue(s) which the person proposes to raise; and
- d. A showing that the requesting person has the capability to understand and utilize the requested information.
- 2. If a person claims that the information is necessary to prepare a request for hearing, the request must be filed no later than 15 days after publication in the FEDERAL REG-ISTER of the notice required either by 10 CFR 52.85 or 10 CFR 52.103. If Westinghouse declines to provide the information sought, Westinghouse shall send a written response within ten (10) days of receiving the request to the requesting person setting forth with particularity the reasons for its refusal. The person may then request the Commission (or presiding officer, if a proceeding has been established) to order disclosure. The person shall include copies of the original request (and any subsequent clarifying information provided by the requesting party to the applicant) and the applicant's response. The Commission and presiding officer shall base their decisions solely on the person's original request (including any clarifying infor-

mation provided by the requesting person to Westinghouse), and Westinghouse's response. The Commission and presiding officer may order Westinghouse to provide access to some or all of the requested information, subject to an appropriate non-disclosure agreement.

VII. DURATION OF THIS APPENDIX

This appendix may be referenced for a period of 15 years from January 24, 2000, except as provided for in 10 CFR 52.55(b) and 52.57(b). This appendix remains valid for an applicant or licensee who references this appendix until the application is withdrawn or the license expires, including any period of extended operation under a renewed license.

VIII. PROCESSES FOR CHANGES AND DEPARTURES

A. Tier 1 information.

- 1. Generic changes to Tier 1 information are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 52.63(a)(1).
- 2. Generic changes to Tier 1 information are applicable to all applicants or licensees who reference this appendix, except those for which the change has been rendered technically irrelevant by action taken under paragraphs A.3 or A.4 of this section.
- 3. Departures from Tier 1 information that are required by the Commission through plant-specific orders are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 52.63(a)(3).
- 4. Exemptions from Tier 1 information are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 52.63(b)(1) and §52.97(b). The Commission will deny a request for an exemption from Tier 1, if it finds that the design change will result in a significant decrease in the level of safety otherwise provided by the design.
 - B. Tier 2 information.
- 1. Generic changes to Tier 2 information are governed by the requirements in $10\ \text{CFR}$ 52.63(a)(1).
- 2. Generic changes to Tier 2 information are applicable to all applicants or licensees who reference this appendix, except those for which the change has been rendered technically irrelevant by action taken under paragraphs B.3, B.4, B.5, or B.6 of this section.
- 3. The Commission may not require new requirements on Tier 2 information by plant-specific order while this appendix is in effect under §§ 52.55 or 52.61, unless:
- a. A modification is necessary to secure compliance with the Commission's regulations applicable and in effect at the time this appendix was approved, as set forth in Section V of this appendix, or to assure adequate protection of the public health and safety or the common defense and security; and
- b. Special circumstances as defined in $10 \ \text{CFR} \ 50.12(a)$ are present.

Pt. 52, App. C

- 4. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix may request an exemption from Tier 2 information. The Commission may grant such a request only if it determines that the exemption will comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.12(a). The Commission will deny a request for an exemption from Tier 2, if it finds that the design change will result in a significant decrease in the level of safety otherwise provided by the design. The grant of an exemption to an applicant must be subject to litigation in the same manner as other issues material to the license hearing. The grant of an exemption to a licensee must be subject to an opportunity for a hearing in the same manner as license amendments.
- 5.a. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix may depart from Tier 2 information, without prior NRC approval, unless the proposed departure involves a change to or departure from Tier 1 information, Tier 2* information, or the technical specifications, or involves an unreviewed safety question as defined in paragraphs B.5.b and B.5.c of this section. When evaluating the proposed departure, an applicant or licensee shall consider all matters described in the plant-specific DCD.
- b. A proposed departure from Tier 2, other than one affecting resolution of a severe accident issue identified in the plant-specific DCD, involves an unreviewed safety question if—
- (1) The probability of occurrence or the consequences of an accident or malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the plant-specific DCD may be increased:
- (2) A possibility for an accident or malfunction of a different type than any evaluated previously in the plant-specific DCD may be created; or
- $(\mathring{3})$ The margin of safety as defined in the basis for any technical specification is reduced.
- c. A proposed departure from Tier 2 affecting resolution of a severe accident issue identified in the plant-specific DCD, involves an unreviewed safety question if—
- (1) There is a substantial increase in the probability of a severe accident such that a particular severe accident previously reviewed and determined to be not credible could become credible; or
- (2) There is a substantial increase in the consequences to the public of a particular severe accident previously reviewed.
- d. If a departure involves an unreviewed safety question as defined in paragraph B.5 of this section, it is governed by 10 CFR 50.90.
- e. A departure from Tier 2 information that is made under paragraph B.5 of this section does not require an exemption from this appendix.
- f. A party to an adjudicatory proceeding for either the issuance, amendment, or re-

- newal of a license or for operation under 10 CFR 52.103(a), who believes that an applicant or licensee who references this appendix has not complied with VIII.B.5 of this appendix when departing from Tier 2 information, may petition to admit into the proceeding such a contention. In addition to compliance with the general requirements of 10 CFR 2.714(b)(2), the petition must demonstrate that the departure does not comply with VIII.B.5 of this appendix. Further, the petition must demonstrate that the change bears on an asserted noncompliance with an ITAAC acceptance criterion in the case of a 10 CFR 52.103 preoperational hearing, or that the change bears directly on the amendment request in the case of a hearing on a license amendment. Any other party may file a response. If, on the basis of the petition and any response, the presiding officer determines that a sufficient showing has been made, the presiding officer shall certify the matter directly to the Commission for determination of the admissibility of the contention. The Commission may admit such a contention if it determines the petition raises a genuine issue of fact regarding compliance with VIII.B.5 of this appendix.
- 6.a. An applicant who references this appendix may not depart from Tier 2* information, which is designated with italicized text or brackets and an asterisk in the generic DCD, without NRC approval. The departure will not be considered a resolved issue, within the meaning of Section VI of this appendix and 10 CFR 52.63(a)(4).
- b. A licensee who references this appendix may not depart from the following Tier 2* matters without prior NRC approval. A request for a departure will be treated as a request for a license amendment under 10 CFR 50.90.
- (1) Maximum fuel rod average burn-up.
- (2) Fuel principal design requirements.
- (3) Fuel criteria evaluation process.
- (4) Fire areas.
- (5) Human factors engineering.
- c. A licensee who references this appendix may not, before the plant first achieves full power following the finding required by 10 CFR 52.103(g), depart from the following Tier 2* matters except in accordance with paragraph B.6.b of this section. After the plant first achieves full power, the following Tier 2* matters revert to Tier 2 status and are thereafter subject to the departure provisions in paragraph B.5 of this section.
- (1) Nuclear Island structural dimensions.
- (2) ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, and Code Case N-284.
- (3) Design Summary of Critical Sections.
- (4) ACI 318, ACI 349, and ANSI/AISC-690.
- (5) Definition of critical locations and thicknesses.
- (6) Seismic qualification methods and standards.

- (7) Nuclear design of fuel and reactivity control system, except burn-up limit.
- (8) Motor-operated and power-operated valves.
- (9) Instrumentation and control system design processes, methods, and standards.
- (10) PRHR natural circulation test (first plant only).
- (11) ADS and CMT verification tests (first three plants only).
- d. Departures from Tier 2* information that are made under paragraph B.6 of this section do not require an exemption from this appendix.
 - C. Operational requirements.
- 1. Generic changes to generic technical specifications and other operational requirements that were completely reviewed and approved in the design certification rulemaking and do not require a change to a design feature in the generic DCD are governed by the requirements in 10 CFR 50.109. Generic changes that do require a change to a design feature in the generic DCD are governed by the requirements in paragraphs A or B of this section.
- 2. Generic changes to generic technical specifications and other operational requirements are applicable to all applicants or licensees who reference this appendix, except those for which the change has been rendered technically irrelevant by action taken under paragraphs C.3 or C.4 of this section.
- 3. The Commission may require plant-specific departures on generic technical specifications and other operational requirements that were completely reviewed and approved, provided a change to a design feature in the generic DCD is not required and special circumstances as defined in 10 CFR 2.758(b) are present. The Commission may modify or supplement generic technical specifications and other operational requirements that were not completely reviewed and approved or require additional technical specifications and other operational requirements on a plant-specific basis, provided a change to a design feature in the generic DCD is not required.
- 4. An applicant who references this appendix may request an exemption from the generic technical specifications or other operational requirements. The Commission may grant such a request only if it determines that the exemption will comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.12(a). The grant of an exemption must be subject to litigation in the same manner as other issues material to the license hearing.
- 5. A party to an adjudicatory proceeding for either the issuance, amendment, or renewal of a license or for operation under 10 CFR 52.103(a), who believes that an operational requirement approved in the DCD or a technical specification derived from the generic technical specifications must be changed may petition to admit into the proceeding such a contention. Such petition

must comply with the general requirements of $10\ \text{CFR}\ 2.714(b)(2)$ and must demonstrate why special circumstances as defined in 10 CFR 2.758(b) are present, or for compliance with the Commission's regulations in effect at the time this appendix was approved, as set forth in Section V of this appendix. Any other party may file a response thereto. If, on the basis of the petition and any response, the presiding officer determines that a sufficient showing has been made, the presiding officer shall certify the matter directly to the Commission for determination of the admissibility of the contention. All other issues with respect to the plant-specific technical specifications or other operational requirements are subject to a hearing as part of the license proceeding.
6. After issuance of a license, the generic

6. After issuance of a license, the generic technical specifications have no further effect on the plant-specific technical specifications and changes to the plant-specific technical specifications will be treated as license amendments under 10 CFR 50.90.

IX. INSPECTIONS, TESTS, ANALYSES, AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA (ITAAC)

A.1 An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall perform and demonstrate conformance with the ITAAC before fuel load. With respect to activities subject to an ITAAC, an applicant for a license may proceed at its own risk with design and procurement activities, and a licensee may proceed at its own risk with design, procurement, construction, and preoperational activities, even though the NRC may not have found that any particular ITAAC has been satisfied

- 2. The licensee who references this appendix shall notify the NRC that the required inspections, tests, and analyses in the ITAAC have been successfully completed and that the corresponding acceptance criteria have been met.
- 3. In the event that an activity is subject to an ITAAC, and the applicant or licensee who references this appendix has not demonstrated that the ITAAC has been satisfied, the applicant or licensee may either take corrective actions to successfully complete that ITAAC, request an exemption from the ITAAC in accordance with Section VIII of this appendix and 10 CFR 52.97(b), or petition for rulemaking to amend this appendix by changing the requirements of the ITAAC, under 10 CFR 2.802 and 52.97(b). Such rulemaking changes to the ITAAC must meet the requirements of paragraph VIII.A.1 of this appendix.
- B.1 The NRC shall ensure that the required inspections, tests, and analyses in the ITAAC are performed. The NRC shall verify that the inspections, tests, and analyses referenced by the licensee have been successfully completed and, based solely thereon, find the prescribed acceptance criteria have

Pt. 52, App. M

been met. At appropriate intervals during construction, the NRC shall publish notices of the successful completion of ITAAC in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

- 2. In accordance with 10 CFR 52.99 and 52.103(g), the Commission shall find that the acceptance criteria in the ITAAC for the license are met before fuel load.
- 3. After the Commission has made the finding required by 10 CFR 52.103(g), the ITAAC do not, by virtue of their inclusion within the DCD, constitute regulatory requirements either for licensees or for renewal of the license; except for specific ITAAC, which are the subject of a Section 103(a) hearing, their expiration will occur upon final Commission action in such proceeding. However, subsequent modifications must comply with the Tier 1 and Tier 2 design descriptions in the plant-specific DCD unless the licensee has complied with the applicable requirements of 10 CFR 52.97 and Section VIII of this appendix.

X. RECORDS AND REPORTING

A. Records

- 1. The applicant for this appendix shall maintain a copy of the generic DCD that includes all generic changes to Tier 1 and Tier 2. The applicant shall maintain the proprietary and safeguards information referenced in the generic DCD for the period that this appendix may be referenced, as specified in Section VII of this appendix.
- 2. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall maintain the plant-specific DCD to accurately reflect both generic changes to the generic DCD and plant-specific departures made pursuant to Section VIII of this appendix throughout the period of application and for the term of the license (including any period of renewal).
- 3. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall prepare and maintain written safety evaluations which provide the bases for the determinations required by Section VIII of this appendix. These evaluations must be retained throughout the period of application and for the term of the license (including any period of renewal).

B. Reporting

- 1. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall submit a report to the NRC containing a brief description of any departures from the plant-specific DCD, including a summary of the safety evaluation of each. This report must be filed in accordance with the filing requirements applicable to reports in 10 CFR 50.4.
- 2. An applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall submit updates to its plant-specific DCD, which reflect the generic changes to the generic DCD and the plant-specific departures made pursuant to Section VIII of this appendix. These updates shall be

filed in accordance with the filing requirements applicable to final safety analysis report updates in $10\ CFR\ 50.4$ and 50.71(e).

- 3. The reports and updates required by paragraphs B.1 and B.2 of this section must be submitted as follows:
- a. On the date that an application for a license referencing this appendix is submitted, the application shall include the report and any updates to the plant-specific DCD.
- b. During the interval from the date of application to the date of issuance of a license, the report and any updates to the plant-specific DCD must be submitted annually and may be submitted along with amendments to the application.
- c. During the interval from the date of issuance of a license to the date the Commission makes its findings under 10 CFR 52.103(g), the report must be submitted quarterly. Updates to the plant-specific DCD must be submitted annually.
- d. After the Commission has made its finding under 10 CFR 52.103(g), reports and updates to the plant-specific DCD may be submitted annually or along with updates to the site-specific portion of the final safety analysis report for the facility at the intervals required by 10 CFR 50.71(e), or at shorter intervals as specified in the license.

[64 FR 72015, Dec. 23, 1999]

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 64 FR 72015, Dec. 23, 1999, appendix C to part 52 was added, effective Jan. 24, 2000.

APPENDICES D-L TO PART 52 [RESERVED]

APPENDIX M TO PART 52—STANDARDIZATION OF DESIGN; MANUFACTURE OF NUCLEAR POWER REACTORS; CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF NUCLEAR POWER REACTORS MANUFACTURED PURSUANT TO COMMISSION LICENSE

Section 101 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and §50.10 of this chapter require a Commission license to transfer or receive in interstate commerce, manufacture, produce, transfer, acquire, possess, use, import, or export any production or utilization facility. The regulations in part 50 require the issuance of a construction permit by the Commission before commencement of construction of a production or utilization facility, and the issuance of an operating license before operation of the facility. The provisions of part 50 relating to the facility licensing process are, in general, predicated on the assumption that the facility will be assembled and constructed on the site at which it is to be operated. In those circumstances, both facility design and site-related issues can be considered in the initial,

construction permit stage of the licensing process.

However under the Atomic Energy Act a license may be sought and issued authorizing the manufacture of facilities but not their construction and installation at the sites on which the facilities are to be operated. Prior to the "commencement of construction", as defined in §50.10(c) of this chapter of a facility (manufactured pursuant to such a Commission license) on the site at which it is to operate-that is preparation of the site and installation of the facility—a construction permit that, among other things, reflects approval of the site on which the facility is to be operated, must be issued by the Commission. This appendix sets out the particular requirements and provisions applicable to such situations where nuclear power reactors to be manufactured pursuant to a Commission license and subsequently installed at the site pursuant to a Commission construction permit, are of the type described in §50.22 of this chapter. It thus codifies one approach to the standardization of nuclear power reactors.

- 1. Except as otherwise specified in this appendix or as the context otherwise indicates, the provisions in part 50 applicable to construction permits, including the requirement in §50.58 of this chapter for review of the application by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards and the holding of a public hearing, apply in context, with respect to matters of radiological health and safety, environmental protection, and the common defense and security, to licenses pursuant to this appendix M to manufacture nuclear power reactors (manufacturing licenses) to be operated at sites not identified in the license application.
- 2. An application for a manufacturing license pursuant to this appendix M must be submitted, as specified in §50.4 of this chapter and meet all the requirements of §§ 50.34(a) (1)-(9) and 50.34a (a) and (b) of this chapter except that the preliminary safety analysis report shall be designated as a "design report" and any required information or analyses relating to site matters shall be predicated on postulated site parameters which must be specified in the application. The application must also include information pertaining to design features of the proposed reactor(s) that affect plans for coping with emergencies in the operation of the reactor(s).
- 3. An applicant for a manufacturing license pursuant to this appendix M shall submit with his application an environmental report as required of applicants for construction permits in accordance with subpart A of part 51 of this chapter, provided, however, that such report shall be directed at the manufacture of the reactor(s) at the manufacturing site; and, in general terms, at the construction and operation of the reactor(s) at a hy-

pothetical site or sites having characterisitics that fall within the postulated site parameters. The related draft and final environmental impact statement prepared by the Commission's regulatory staff will be similarly directed.

- 4. (a) Sections 50.10 (b) and (c), 50.12(b), 50.23, 50.30(d), 50.34(a)(10), 50.34a(c), 50.35 (a) and (c), 50.40(a), 50.45, 50.55(d), 50.56 of this chapter and appendix J of part 50 do not apply to manufacturing licenses. Appendices E and H of part 50 apply to manufacturing licenses only to the extent that the requirements of these appendices involve facility design features.
- (b) The financial information submitted pursuant to \$50.33(f) of this chapter and appendix C of part 50 shall be directed at a demonstration of the financial qualifications of the applicant for the manufacturing license to carry out the manufacturing activity for which the license is sought.
- 5. The Commission may issue a license to manufacture one or more nuclear power reactors to be operated at sites not identified in the license application if the Commission finds that:
- (a) The applicant has described the proposed design of and the site parameters postulated for the reactor(s), including, but not limited to, the principal architectural and engineering criteria for the design, and has identified the major features of components incorporated therein for the protection of the health and safety of the public.
- (b) Such further technical or design information as may be required to complete the design report and which can reasonably be left for later consideration, will be supplied in a supplement to the design report.
- (c) Safety features or components, if any, which require research and development have been described by the applicant and the applicant has identified, and there will be conducted a research and development program reasonably designed to resolve any safety questions associated with such features of components; and
- (d) On the basis of the foregoing, there is reasonable assurance that (i) such safety questions will be satisfactorily resolved before any of the proposed nuclear power reactor(s) are removed from the manufacturing site and (ii) taking into consideration the site criteria contained in part 100 of this chapter, the proposed reactor(s) can be constructed and operated at sites having characteristics that fall within the site parameters postulated for the design of the reactor(s) without undue risk to the health and safety of the public.
- (e) The applicant is technically and financially qualified to design and manufacture the proposed nuclear power reactor(s).

Pt. 52, App. N

(f) The issuance of a license to the applicant will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

(g) On the basis of the evaluations and analyses of the environmental effects of the proposed action required by subpart A of part 51 of this chapter and paragraph 3 of this appendix, the action called for is the issuance of the license.

Note: When an applicant has supplied initially all of the technical information required to complete the application, including the final design of the reactor(s), the findings required for the issuance of the license will be appropriately modified to reflect that fact.

- 6. Each manufacturing license issued pursuant to this appendix will specify the number of nuclear power reactors authorized to be manufactured and the latest date for the completion of the manufacture of all such reactors. Upon good cause shown, the Commission will extend such completion date for a reasonable period of time.
- 7. The holder of a manufacturing license issued pursuant to this appendix M shall submit to the Commission the final design of the nuclear power reactor(s) covered by the license as soon as such design has been completed. Such submittal shall be in the form of an application for amendment of the manufacturing license.
- 8. The prohibition in §50.10(c) of this chapter against commencement of construction of a production or utilization facility prior to issuance of a construction permit applies to the transport of a nuclear power reactor(s) manufactured pursuant to this appendix from the manufacturing facility to the site at which the reactor(s) will be installed and operated. In addition, such nuclear power reactor(s) shall not be removed from the manufacturing site until the final design of the reactor(s) has been approved by the Commission in accordance with paragraph 7.
- 9. An application for a permit to construct a nuclear power reactor(s) which is the subject of an application for a manufacturing license pursuant to this appendix M need not contain such information or analyses as have previously been submitted to the Commission in connection with the application for a manufacturing license, but shall by \$\$50.34(a) and 50.34a of this chapter, sufficient information to demonstrate that the site on which the reactor(s) is to be operated falls within the postulated site parameters specified in the relevant manufacturing license application

10. The Commission may issue a permit to construct a nuclear power reactor(s) which is the subject of an application for a manufacturing license pursuant to this appendix M if the Commission (a) finds that the site on which the reactor is to be operated falls within the postulated site parameters speci-

fied in the relevant application for a manufacturing license and (b) makes the findings otherwise required by part 50. In no event will a construction permit be issued until the relevant manufacturing license has been issued.

11. An operating license for a nuclear power reactor(s) that has been manufactured under a Commission license issued pursuant to this appendix M may be issued by the Commission pursuant to §50.57 and subpart A of part 51 of this chapter except that the Commission shall find, pursuant §50.57(a)(1), that construction of the reactor(s) has been substantially completed in conformity with both the manufacturing license and the construction permit and the applications therefor, as amended, and the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission. Notwithstanding the other provisions of this paragraph, no application for an operating license for a nuclear power reactor(s) that has been manufactured under a Commission license issued pursuant to this appendix M will be docketed until the application for an amendment to the relevant manufacturing license required by paragraph 7 has been docketed.

12. In making the findings required by this part for the issuance of a construction permit or an operating license for a nuclear power reactor(s) that has been manufactured under a Commission license issued pursuant to this appendix, or an amendment to such a manufacturing license, construction permit, or operating license, the Commission will treat as resolved those matters which have been resolved at an earlier stage of the licensing process, unless there exists significant new information that substantially affects the conclusion(s) reached at the earlier stage or other good cause.

APPENDIX N TO PART 52—STANDARDIZATION OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANT DESIGNS: LICENSES TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE NUCLEAR POWER REACTORS OF DUPLICATE DESIGN AT MULTIPLE SITES

Section 101 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and \$50.10 of this chapter require a Commission license to transfer or receive in interstate commerce, manufacture, produce, transfer, acquire, possess, use, import or export any production or utilization facility. The regulations in part 50 require the issuance of a construction permit by the Commission before commencement of construction of a production or utilization facility, except as provided in \$50.10(e) of this chapter, and the issuance of an operating license before the operation of the facility.

The Commission's regulations in part 2 of this chapter specifically provide for the holding of hearings on particular issues separately from other issues involved in hearings in licensing proceedings (§2.761a, appendix A, section I(c)), and for the consolidation of adjudicatory proceedings and of the presentations of parties in adjudicatory proceedings such as licensing proceedings (§§2.715a, 2.716).

This appendix sets out the particular requirements and provisions applicable to situations in which applications are filed by one or more applicants for licenses to construct and operate nuclear power reactors of essentially the same design to be located at different sites.¹

- 1. Except as otherwise specified in this appendix or as the context otherwise indicates, the provisions of part 50, applicable to construction permits and operating licenses, including the requirement in \$50.58 of this chapter for review of the application by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards and the holding of public hearings, apply to construction permits and operating license subject to this appendix N.
- 2. Applications for construction permits submitted pursuant to this appendix must include the information required by §§ 50.33, 50.33a, 50.34(a) and 50.34a (a) and (b) of this chapter, and be submitted as specified in §50.4 of this chapter. The applicant shall also submit the information required by §51.50 of this chapter.

For the technical information required by §§ 50.34(a) (1) through (5) and (8) and 50.34a (a) and (b) of this chapter, reference may be made to a single preliminary safety analysis of the design2 which, for the purposes of §50.34(a)(1) includes one set of site parameters postulated for the design of the reactors, and an analysis and evaluation of the reactors in terms of such postulated site parameters. Such single preliminary safety analysis shall also include information pertaining to design features of the proposed reactors that affect plans for coping with emergencies in the operation of the reactors, and shall describe the quality assurance program with respect to aspects of design, fabrication, procurement and construction that are common to all of the reactors.

3. Applications for operating licenses submitted pursuant to this appendix N shall include the information required by \$\$50.34 (b) and (c), and 50.34a(c) of this chapter. The applicant shall also submit the information required by \$51.53 of this chapter. For the technical information required by \$50.34(b) (2) through (5) and 50.34a(c), reference may be made to a single final safety analysis of the design.

APPENDIX O TO PART 52—STANDARDIZA-TION OF DESIGN: STAFF REVIEW OF STANDARD DESIGNS

This appendix sets out procedures for the filing, staff review and referral to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards of standard designs for a nuclear power reactor of the type described in §50.22 of this chapter or major portions thereof.

1. Any person may submit a proposed preliminary or final standard design for a nuclear power reactor of the type described in §50.22 to the regulatory staff for its review. Such a submittal may consist of either the preliminary or final design for the entire reactor facility or the preliminary or final design of major portions thereof.

2. The submittal for review of the standard design must be made in the same manner and in the same number of copies as provided in §50.4 and 50.30 of this chapter for license

applications.

3. The submittal for review of the standard design shall include the information described in §§ 50.33 (a) through (d) of this chapter and the applicable technical information required by §\$50.34 (a) and (b), as appropriate, and 50.34a of this chapter (other than that required by $\S 50.34(a)$ (6) and (10), 50.34(b)(1), (6) (i), (ii), (iv), and (v) and 50.34(b) (7) and (8)). The submittal shall also include a description, analysis and evaluation of the interfaces between the submitted design and the balance of the nuclear power plant. With respect to the requirements of \$\$50.34(a)(1) of this chapter, the submittal for review of a standard design shall include the site parameters postulated for the design, and an analysis and evaluation of the design in terms of such postulated site parameters. The information submitted pursuant to \$50.34(a)(7) of this chapter, shall be limted to the quality assurance program to be applied to the design, procurement and fabrication of the structures, systems, and components for which design review has been requested and the information submitted pursuant to §50.34(a)(9) of this chapter shall be limited to the qualifications of the person submitting the standard design to design the reactor or major portion thereof. The submittal shall also include information pertaining to design features that affect plans for coping with emergencies in the operation of the reactor or major portion thereof.

¹If the design for the power reactor(s) proposed in a particular application is not identical to the others, that application may not be processed under this appendix and subpart D of part 2 of this chapter.

²As used in this appendix, the design of a nuclear power reactor included in a single referenced safety analysis report means the design of those structures, systems and components important to radiological health and safety and the common defense and security.

Pt. 52, App. Q

- 4. Once the regulatory staff has initiated a technical review of a submittal under this appendix, the submittal will be referred to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) for a review and report.
- 5. Upon completion of their review of a submittal under this appendix, the NRC regulatory staff shall publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a determination as to whether or not the preliminary or final design is acceptable, subject to such conditions as may be appropriate, and make available at the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov, an analysis of the design in the form of a report. An approved design shall be utilized by and relied upon by the regulatory staff and the ACRS in their review of any individual facility license application which incorporates by reference a design approved in accordance with this paragraph unless there exists significant new information which substantially affects the earlier determination or other good
- 6. The determination and report by the regulatory staff shall not constitute a commitment to issue a permit or license, or in any way affect the authority of the Commission, Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, and other presiding officers in any proceeding under subpart G of part 2 of this chapter.
- 7. Information requests to the approval holder regarding an approved design shall be evaluated prior to issuance to ensure that the burden to be imposed on respondents is justified in view of the potential safety significance of the issue to be addressed in the requested information. Each such evaluation performed by the NRC staff shall be in accordance with 10 CFR 50.54(f) and shall be approved by the Executive Director for Operations or his or her designee prior to issuance of the request.

[54 FR 15386, Apr. 18, 1989, as amended at 61 FR 9902, Mar. 12, 1996; 64 FR 48953, Sept. 9, 1999]

APPENDIX P TO PART 52 [RESERVED]

APPENDIX Q TO PART 52—PRE-APPLICA-TION EARLY REVIEW OF SITE SUIT-ABILITY ISSUES

This appendix sets out procedures for the filing, Staff review, and referral to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) of requests for early review of one or more site suitability issues relating to the construction and operation of certain utilization facilities separately from and prior to the submittal of applications for construction permits for the facilities. The appendix also sets out procedures for the preparation and issuance of Staff Site Reports and for their incorporation by reference in applica-

tions for the construction and operation of certain utilization facilities. The utilization facilities are those which are subject to \$51.20(b) of this chapter and are of the type specified in \$50.21(b) (2) or (3) or \$50.22 of this chapter or are testing facilities. This appendix does not apply to proceedings conducted pursuant to subpart F or part 2 of this chapter.

- 1. Any person may submit information regarding one or more site suitability issues to the Commission's Staff for its review separately from and prior to an application for a construction permit for a facility. Such a submittal shall be accompanied by any fee required by part 170 of this chapter and shall consist of the portion of the information required of applicants for construction permits by §§50.33 (a)–(c) and (e) of this chapter, and, insofar as it relates to the issue(s) of site suitability for which early review is sought, by §§50.34(a)(1) and 50.30(f) of this chapter, except that information with respect to operation of the facility at the projected initial power level need not be supplied.
- 2. The submittal for early review of site suitability issue(s) must be made in the same manner and in the same number of copies as provided in §§ 50.4 and 50.30 of this chapter for license applications. The submittal must include sufficient information concerning range of postulated facility design and operation parameters to enable the Staff to perform the requested review of site suitability issues. The submittal must contain suggested conclusions on the issues of site suitability submitted for review and must be accompanied by a statement of the bases or the reasons for those conclusions. The submittal must also list, to the extent possible, any long-range objectives for ultimate development of the site, state whether any site selection process was used in preparing the submittal, describe any site selection process used, and explain what consideration, if any, was given to alternative sites.
- 3. The staff shall publish a note of docketing of the submittal in the FEDERAL REG-ISTER, and shall send a copy of the notice of docketing to the Governor or other appropriate official of the State in which the site is located. This notice shall identify the location of the site, briefly describe the site suitability issue(s) under review, and invite comments from Federal, State, and local agencies and interested persons within 120 days of publication or such other time as may be specified, for consideration by the staff in connection with the initiation or outcome of the review and, if appropriate by the ACRS in connection with the outcome of their review. The person requesting review shall serve a copy of the submittal on the Governor or other appropriate official of the State in which the site is located, and on the chief executive of the municipality in which

the site is located or, if the site is not located in a municipality, on the chief executive of the county. The portion of the submittal containing information requested of applicants for construction permits by §§ 50.33 (a)-(c) and (e) and 50.34(a)(1) of this chapter will be referred to the ACRS for a review and report. There will be no referral to the ACRS unless early review of the site safety issues under §50.34(a)(1) is requested.

4. Upon completion of review by the NRC staff and, if appropriate by the ACRS, of a submittal under this appendix, the NRC staff shall prepare a Staff Site Report which shall identify the location of the site, state the site suitability issues reviewed, explain the nature and scope of the review, state the conclusions of the staff regarding the issues reviewed and state the reasons for those conclusions. Upon issuance of an NRC Staff Site Report, the NRC staff shall publish a notice of the availability of the report in the FED-ERAL REGISTER and shall make available a copy of the report at the NRC Web site, http:// /www.nrc.gov. The NRC staff shall also send a copy of the report to the Governor or other appropriate official of the State in which the site is located, and to the chief executive of the municipality in which the site is located or, if the site is not located in a municipality, to the chief executive of the county.

5. Any Staff Site Report prepared and issued in accordance with this appendix may be incorporated by reference, as appropriate, in an application for a construction permit for a utilization facility which is subject to §51.20(b) of this chapter and is of the type specific in §50.21(b) (2) or (3) or §50.22 of this chapter or is a testing facility. The conclusions of the Staff Site Report will be reexamined by the staff where five years or more have elapsed between the issuance of the Staff Site Report and its incorporation by reference in a construction permit application.

6. Issuance of a Staff Site Report shall not constitute a commitment to issue a permit or license, to permit on-site work under \$50.10(e) of this chapter, or in any way affect the authority of the Commission, Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, and other presiding officers in any proceeding under subpart F and/or G of part 2 of this chapter.

7. The staff will not conduct more than one review of site suitability issues with regard to a particular site prior to the full construction permit review required by subpart A of part 51 of this chapter. The staff may decline to prepare and issue a Staff Site Report in response to a submittal under this appendix where it appears that, (a) in cases where no review of the relative merits of the submitted site and alternative sites under subpart A of part 51 of this chapter is requested, there is a reasonable likelihood that further staff review would identify one or more pref-

erable alternative sites and the staff review of one or more site suitability issues would lead to an irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources prior to the submittal of the analysis of alternative sites in the Environmental Report that would prejudice the later review and decision on alternative sites under subpart F and/or G of part 2 and subpart A of part 51 of this chapter; or (b) in cases where, in the judgment of the staff, early review of any site suitability issue or issues would not be in the public interest, considering (1) the degree of likelihood that any early findings on those issues would retain their validity in later reviews, (2) the objections, if any, of cognizant state or local government agencies to the conduct of an early review on those issues, and (3) the possible effect on the public interest of having an early, if not necessarily conclusive, resolution of those issues.

[54 FR 15386, Apr. 18, 1989, as amended at 64 FR 48953, Sept. 9, 1999]

PART 53 [RESERVED]

PART 54—REQUIREMENTS FOR RE-NEWAL OF OPERATING LICENSES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec

54.1 Purpose.

54.3 Definitions.

54.4 Scope.

54.5 Interpretations.

54.7 Written communications.

54.9 Information collection requirements: OMB approval.

54.11 Public inspection of applications.

54.13 Completeness and accuracy of information.

54.15 Specific exemptions.

54.17 Filing of application.

54.19 Contents of application—general information.

54.21 Contents of application—technical information.

54.22 Contents of application—technical specifications.

54.23 Contents of application—environmental information.

54.25 Report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

54.27 Hearings.

54.29 Standards for issuance of a renewed license.

54.30 Matters not subject to a renewal review.

54.31 Issuance of a renewed license.

54.33 Continuation of CLB and conditions of renewed license.

54.35 Requirements during term of renewed license.